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Life sciences service providers have developed sophisticated capabilities by leveraging emerging technologies to help deliver the next generation of therapies and devices to the market at unprecedented speeds while challenging and disrupting the status quo. This shape shift has the attributes of positively impacting the triple aim (cost, health outcomes and experiences) of care.

- Rohan Kulkarni, Practice Leader – Healthcare and Life Sciences Research

Life sciences has been redesigning itself in the post-COVID era, reshaping collaboration among organizations across the life sciences value chain, transforming clinical trials and the medical device industry to work for the triple aim.

- Mayank Madhur, Associate Practice Leader
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Introduction and the HFS value chain
Introduction

- We are in the middle of a global pandemic, but the COVID-19 vaccine appears to be a primary factor in decreasing infection rates worldwide. The life sciences industry and its partner ecosystem, in a near miraculous effort, developed the vaccine less than 12 months. In that context, this Top 10 report reflects on how the industry and its ecosystem reacted.

- The HFS 2021 Top 10 Life Sciences Service Providers report examines service providers’ role in the dynamic global life sciences industry. We assessed and rated the life sciences-specific service capabilities of 14 service providers across a defined series of innovation, execution, OneOffice™ alignment, and voice of the customer criteria.

- The assessment in the report is based on services for life sciences enterprises worldwide.

- This report also includes detailed profiles of each service provider, outlining their overall and subcategory rankings, provider facts, and detailed strength and development opportunities.

- Also, for the first time, we explore service providers’ efforts in helping reduce or reverse climate change.

- The report specifically focuses on industry-specific capabilities for life sciences as defined in our life sciences value chain. It does not focus on horizontal IT or BPS services such as application management or finance and accounting outsourcing, which may be delivered to life sciences clients.
# The HFS life sciences value chain

## Research and development
- Market and competitive intelligence
- Preclinical studies
- Patient recruitment and management
- Clinical trials
- Clinical data management
- Medical writing and publishing
- Biostatistics and statistical programming

## Supply chain
- Order management
- Inventory management
- Manufacturing management
- Distribution planning, logistics, and analysis
- Defect handling
- Reverse logistics
- Procurement and vendor management

## Sales and marketing
- Inside sales
- Sales operations and analytics
- Marketing operations and analytics
- Market research
- Patient access and medication services

## Pharmacovigilance
- Data collection and case processing
- Medical review
- Medical writing and reporting
- Remote monitoring and safety surveillance
- Signal generation and detection
- Risk management

## Regulatory
- Dossier writing, compilation, and submission
- Pre- and post-submission services
- Drug tracking, incidence reporting, recall and compliance management
- Product lifecycle management
- Artwork, label, and pack management

## Enabling technologies
- RPA
- Artificial intelligence
- Smart analytics
- Blockchain
- IoT
- Cloud
- Mobility
- Social media
- Augmented and virtual reality
- 3D printing

## Horizontal IT processes
- Planning, design, and implementation
- Application development and maintenance
- Infrastructure management
- Security

## Horizontal business processes
- Customer engagement
- Human resources
- Procurement
- Finance and accounting
- Payroll
- Legal and compliance

---

Excerpt for EY
The HFS life sciences value chain defined

- HFS developed the industry value chain concept to graphically depict our understanding of the processes and functions that specific industries engage in to operate their businesses.

- HFS’ industry value chain for life science operations provides a comprehensive overview of services for the healthcare industry. **Industry-specific processes** include the following specific value chain functions:
  - **Research and development**: Processes related to new drug development and formulation including pre-clinical studies, clinical trials, and market and competitive intelligence;
  - **Supply chain**: Services that support the flow and management of inventory from inception to consumption;
  - **Pharmacovigilance**: Processes supporting the detection, assessment, understanding, and prevention of adverse effects or any other drug-related problem after they have been licensed for use;
  - **Sales and marketing**: Services that enable sales and marketing operations and related analytics;
  - **Regulatory**: Services enabling compliance with various regulatory requirements, both pre-submission and post-submission.
The HFS OneOffice™—digital transformation in action

The HFS OneOffice™ is our vision for actionable digital transformation. At its heart is the core concept that emerging technologies combined with people, process, and data innovation can break down the silos that limit our success, dissolving barriers between the front and back office to create the only office that matters—OneOffice. It represents a mindset shift to collaborative cross-functional enterprise operations powered by an integrated stack of emerging tech that complements your core, natively automates your processes, enables your employees and customers, and powers your decisions—breaking down your legacy silos in the process.
The OneOffice Emerging Tech Platform—powering the journey to the OneOffice

Native automation
Design processes in the cloud; learn from human interaction to keep improving

People and process change
Assist and complement human expertise; continually learn from interactions and feedback

Enterprise operations

Engagement AI
Workflow
Process orchestration

RPA
Intelligent document processing

In the cloud; learn from human interaction to keep improving

People and process change
Assist and complement human expertise; continually learn from interactions and feedback

Data and decisions
Identify new opportunities from data and interactions; provide anticipatory insights and forecasts

OneOffice applications
Process intelligence

Domain and industry expertise

Digital fluency skills

Security

Enterprise operations

DevOps / Agile

Integration/ iPaaS (APIs)

Data optimization

Information model

IoT

Hybrid cloud

Blockchain

Containers

Design thinking

Change management

Source: HFS Research, 2021, examples are representative
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Research and methodology
Service providers covered in this report

- Accenture
- Atos
- Conduent
- Capgemini
- Cognizant
- EY
- Genpact
- HCL
- Infosys
- LT1
- NTT Data
- TCS
- Tech Mahindra
- Wipro
This Top 10 research report relies on myriad data sources to support our methodology and help HFS obtain a well-rounded perspective on the service capabilities of the participating organizations covered in our study. Sources are as follows:

**Sources of data**

- **RFIs and briefings**
  - RFIs: We ask each participating organization to complete a detailed RFI.
  - Vendor briefings: HFS conducts briefings with senior executives from each organization.

- **Reference checks**
  - HFS conducts reference checks with active clients of the study participants via survey and phone-based interviews.

- **HFS vendor ratings**
  - Each year, HFS fields multiple demand-side surveys in which we include detailed vendor rating questions. For this study, we leverage the HFS Pulse data featuring 400+ vendor ratings from Global 2,000 enterprises.

- **Other data sources**
  - Public information such as press releases, web sites, etc.
  - Additional sources such as ongoing interactions, briefings, virtual events, etc., with in-scope vendors and their clients and partners.
Our assessment approach for this study

The study evaluates the capabilities of providers across the life sciences value chain based on execution, innovation, voice of the customer (VOC), and a new criteria for 2021, alignment with the HFS OneOffice model—our vision for digital transformation. Details include

**Execution**
- **Breadth and depth**: Includes life sciences revenue, client portfolio, global delivery footprint, and delivery spread
- **Scale of life sciences business**: Industry-specific resources, industry talent cultivation, geographical coverage, subsectors covered, number of clients, revenue and revenue contribution
- **Growth of life sciences business**: Year-on-year revenue growth, client growth—new logo and organic, resource growth, offering expansion
- **Outcome realized**: Contribution to the triple aim (cost of care, health outcomes, and experience of care)

**Innovation**
- **Strategy and vision**: Including an integrated digital and IoT vision and credibility of strategy, strong understanding of the trends, and refinement of capabilities to address the challenges, go-to-market strategy, competition strategy
- **Life sciences technology innovation**: In-house tools, patents, platforms, frameworks, lab infrastructure, process integration, and R&D outcomes
- **Co-innovation and collaboration**: Co-innovation with clients, creative commercial models including pricing, and innovative engagement models aligning with market trends
- **Outcome realized**: Contribution to the triple aim (cost of care, health outcomes, and experience of care)

**OneOffice alignment**
- **OneOffice scope**: End-to-end offering that connects the front, middle, and back offices
- **OneOffice skills**: Cultivation of OneOffice skills such as digital fluency and problem solving, internally and with clients
- **OneOffice competencies**: Formalized approaches to data and change management
- **OneOffice technology platform**: Enabling capabilities that support "straight-to-digital," anything related to deployment of intelligent automation, IT-OT convergence, 5G, and other emerging technologies that weave into the OneOffice concept

**Voice of the customer**
- **References and interviews**: Sourced from study participants
- **Referenceability**: Provision of references and responsiveness
- **HFS survey data**: Feedback from non-reference clients sourced from HFS’ network
Market dynamics
Executive summary

Triple aim
The triple aim of cost, health outcomes, and experience of care continues to be underachieved. Costs are growing at two to three times the inflation rate, and the prevalence of chronic conditions continues to increase while life expectancy is declining, and overall healthcare experience is nothing to write home about.

Emerging tech
Life sciences providers have been adopting emerging technologies like AI and smart analytics rapidly and leveraging them for real-world outcomes such as developing COVID-19 vaccines; the future of therapies is encouraging with increasing decentralized clinical trials leading to faster molecule-to-market speed.

Service providers
Multiple service providers rate their life sciences businesses among their top three focus markets. The investments made in new solutions and services, talent, and innovation is exciting; some could become CROs (Clinical Research Organization) or pharma’s if they choose to.

Sustainability
Most service providers are investing in sustainability with ambitious goals to achieve net-zero emissions in the next decade or sooner. However, it is unclear if this is just the current priority or if it is here to stay with changing behaviors and investments to support sustainability goals.
All the attributes of the triple aim of care are heading in the wrong direction.
Yet, enterprise priorities are aligned more to corporate financial goals vs. improving the state of health and healthcare.

Please rank the following statements about your organization's objectives for business operations transformation over the next three years

Percentage of respondents

- Increase bottom-line profit: 8% (Rank 1), 24% (Rank 2), 36% (Rank 3), 20% (Rank 4), 20% (Rank 5)
- Improve customer experience: 8% (Rank 1), 36% (Rank 2), 8% (Rank 3), 28% (Rank 4), 28% (Rank 5)
- Optimize regulatory compliance: 35% (Rank 1), 31% (Rank 2), 12% (Rank 3), 12% (Rank 4), 12% (Rank 5)
- Create new business models: 26% (Rank 1), 26% (Rank 2), 30% (Rank 3), 19% (Rank 4), 15% (Rank 5)
- Grow top-line revenue: 22% (Rank 1), 41% (Rank 2), 11% (Rank 3), 11% (Rank 4), 11% (Rank 5)

Sample: 28 client references
Still life sciences enterprises lead the adoption of emerging tech that could translate into improving the triple aim attributes.

What is the stage of overall adoption of emerging technologies in your company?
Percentage of healthcare enterprises implemented at scale

Sample: 800 respondents from Global 2000 enterprises
Source: HFS OneOffice Pulse Study, H1 2021
The adoption patterns validate the focus on industry specific solutions vs. corporate functions

Investment changes for these emerging technologies over the next 12 to 18 months
Percentage of healthcare respondents reporting “significant investment increase”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Back office (shared services, finance, HR, legal, procurement respondents)</th>
<th>Front office (sales, marketing, and customer experience respondents)</th>
<th>Industry specific (innovation, strategy, R&amp;D, data, technology, operations and manufacturing, and supply chain respondents)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid cloud or multi-cloud</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process automation</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process mining and discovery</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial intelligence (AI)*</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet of things (IoT)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart analytics**</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes machine learning, deep learning, computer vision, NLP/NLG  
** Includes predictive and prescriptive analytics  
Sample: 800 respondents from Global 2000 enterprises  
Source: HFS OneOffice Pulse Study, H1 2021
Provider selection is driven by quality-of-service delivery and ability to provide a broad range of services across the value chain.

Which of the following options was the single most important when selecting this provider? Percentage of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of service delivery</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to provide a broad range of services across my business value chain</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry domain expertise and experience</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing relationship</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute price of service</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to provide innovative solutions</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample: 28 client references
Siloed initiatives and lack of in-house talent hold enterprises back from achieving digital transformation objectives

What are the top three inhibitors holding you back from achieving your digital transformation objectives?
Percentage of respondents

- Initiatives are siloed or piecemeal: 30% Rank 1, 26% Rank 2, 9% Rank 3
- Lack of in-house talent - we don't have the skills we need: 20% Rank 1, 15% Rank 2, 5% Rank 3
- Uncertainty about the financial investment needed: 18% Rank 1, 9% Rank 2, 4% Rank 3
- Need more scale to achieve objectives: 18% Rank 1, 9% Rank 2, 4% Rank 3
- Deficiency in overall data quality: 14% Rank 1, 9% Rank 2, 4% Rank 3
- Risk and compliance concerns: 14% Rank 1, 9% Rank 2, 4% Rank 3

Sample: 28 client references
So outsourcing, cloud, and shared services act as current value creation levers given the intrinsic enterprise challenges.

Please characterize your organization's current use of the following value creation levers to achieve the business outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge computing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotic process automation (RPA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsourcing–offshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsourcing–onshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmented and virtual reality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet of things (IoT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockchain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample: 28 client references.
Life sciences enterprises appear to be struggling with cloud strategy, held back by legacy systems and inefficient processes.

What are the challenges for your organization in the context of adopting cloud?
Percentage of respondents ranking order of priority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Rank 1</th>
<th>Rank 2</th>
<th>Rank 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing a cloud strategy</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inefficient processes</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legacy systems</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost management</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying strategic technology partner</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding vendor lock-in</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talent management</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance issues</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of executive sponsorship to drive change</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample: 800 respondents from Global 2000 enterprises
Source: HFS OneOffice Pulse Study, H1 2021
The lack of progress with cloud has negative impacts across new revenues, OPEX, and innovation

On the scale of strongly agree to strongly disagree how would you rate your cloud strategy impacting other sourcing and investment decisions?
Percentage of respondents

Sample: 800 respondents from Global 2000 enterprises
Source: HFS OneOffice Pulse Study, H1 2021
However, the OneOffice mindset is overwhelmingly important to enterprises’ operations and strategies for life sciences

How important is it to drive a OneOffice mindset (connecting the front, middle, and back offices to create a touchless and frictionless digital experience) for your company’s overall operation and strategy? Percentage of healthcare respondents ranking order of priority

Has the importance of a OneOffice mindset increased or decreased since Q2 2020 (after the pandemic shock)? Percentage of respondents ranking order of priority

Sample: 800 respondents from Global 2000 enterprises
Source: HFS OneOffice Pulse Study, H1 2021
Life sciences services | Life science services engagement landscape

**FTE landscape**
- Maximum: 43,000
- Average: 13,500
- Minimum: 700

**Revenue landscape**
- Maximum: $2,250 million
- Average: $1,021 million
- Minimum: $52 million

**Average IT/BPS split**
- BPS: 30%
- IT: 70%

**Average client by size split**
- <$1 billion: 15%
- $1-10 billion: 34%
- >$10 billion: 51%

**Average engagement geo split**
- North America: 7%
- Europe: 54%
- Asia Pacific: 35%
- ROW: 4%

**Project-based versus annuity based**
- Project-based: 43%
- Annuity-based: 57%

Inclusion criteria for participation:
- Annual revenue of at least $100 million and or 10% contribution to overall revenue generated through the life sciences value chain
- Ability to serve two or more value chain categories, e.g., sales and pharmacovigilance
- Ranked in the HFS 2019 Top 10 Life Sciences Service Providers report
Top 10 results: Life Sciences service providers 2021
## Life sciences service providers | A summary of the providers assessed in this report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Providers</th>
<th>HFS point of view</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accenture</td>
<td>Innovative firm operating at the intersection of human ingenuity, technology, and scientific advancement to change life sciences’ future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atos</td>
<td>Firm trying to solve customer problems with its innovative approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capgemini</td>
<td>Research and innovation at the heart of an expanding solution portfolio redefining value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognizant</td>
<td>A firm with vision for end-to-end services and solutions with its platform and ecosystem approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduent</td>
<td>Consulting and platforms driving a life sciences rejuvenation on the back of strong client relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>A client-centric and practical-outcomes-focused firm helping to move life sciences to the next level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genpact</td>
<td>AI central to delivering transformation to its life sciences clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>Digitalizing the core of life sciences with the help of its engineering DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infosys</td>
<td>Determined to deliver next-gen life sciences solutions while expanding market segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTI</td>
<td>A niche service provider disrupting the life sciences sector with its new offerings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTT DATA</td>
<td>A long tradition of innovation and research lends itself easily to value creation for life sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCS</td>
<td>Deep life sciences expertise positions it to be a strategic partner across the value chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech Mahindra</td>
<td>Leveraging cross-industry knowledge to strengthen and expand its life sciences offering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wipro</td>
<td>A provider of deployment-ready solutions across the life sciences value chain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notable performances from the 2021 Top 10 life sciences service providers

### HFS Winners Circle
Top five providers overall across execution, innovation, OneOffice alignment, and voice of the customer criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
<th>#4</th>
<th>#5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>accenture</td>
<td>wipro</td>
<td>tcs</td>
<td>Cognizant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Execution powerhouses
Top three providers on execution criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>wipro</td>
<td>tcs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Innovation champions
Top three providers on innovation criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>Infosys</td>
<td>Cognizant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OneOffice alignment
Top three providers aligned to OneOffice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>accenture</td>
<td>tcs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Outstanding voice of the customer
Top three providers on voice of the customer criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>Atos</td>
<td>HCL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other notable performances**

- Conduent ranked #6 in the voice of the customer and #7 in execution outcomes realized.
- LTI ranked #5 in the growth of life sciences business, #6 in innovation outcomes realized, and #7 in life sciences technology innovation.
- Tech Mahindra ranked #4 in innovation outcomes realized.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Overall HFS Top 10 Position</th>
<th>Execution</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>OneOffice alignment</th>
<th>Voice of the customer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breadth and depth</td>
<td>Scale of life sciences business</td>
<td>Growth of life sciences business</td>
<td>Outcomes realized</td>
<td>Overall execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>EY</td>
<td>EY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>accenture</td>
<td>Infosys</td>
<td>tcs</td>
<td>EY</td>
<td>Cognizant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>wipro</td>
<td>tcs</td>
<td>Cognizant</td>
<td>wipro</td>
<td>wipro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>tcs</td>
<td>wipro</td>
<td>accenture</td>
<td>accenture</td>
<td>accenture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>Cognizant</td>
<td>Cognizant</td>
<td>Capgemini</td>
<td>LTI</td>
<td>Infosys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>wipro</td>
<td>Infosys</td>
<td>LTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>Infosys</td>
<td>accenture</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>tcs</td>
<td>CONDUENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>NTT DATA</td>
<td>Capgemini</td>
<td>Infosys</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>NTT DATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>Atos</td>
<td>NTT DATA</td>
<td>Tech Mahindra</td>
<td>genpact</td>
<td>genpact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>genpact</td>
<td>Tech Mahindra</td>
<td>NTT DATA</td>
<td>tcs</td>
<td>NTT DATA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Rankings reflect aggregate scores, but rankings are not the scores.
### How to read our service provider summary statements

#### Sections and headings are standardized for all profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFS Top 10 position</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to execute</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth and depth</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale of life sciences business</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth of life sciences business</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes realized</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation capability</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy and vision</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life sciences technology innovation</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-innovation and collaboration</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes realized</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OneOffice alignment</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice of the customer</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Strengths

- Organization
- Growth
- Go-to-market
- Talent management
- Outcomes
- Voice of the customer

Strengths of the service provider based on mentioned parameter

#### Development opportunities

- Organization
- Growth
- Go-to-market
- Talent management
- Outcomes
- Voice of the customer

HFS and customer feedback recommendations for the service provider to develop

#### Geographic spread of clients

- IT vs. BPS revenue
- Project vs. annuity revenue

#### Average maturity of capabilities across the life sciences value chain

- Not a focus (1)
- Emerging (2)
- Mature (3)

#### Maturity across the value chain

#### Life sciences-relevant acquisitions and partnerships

- Recent acquisitions that have added to Life science services
- Key partnerships that contribute to Life science services

#### Key clients

- Number of clients and key client names

#### Global operations and resources

- Headcount dedicated to and available for Life science services
- Delivery location breakdown and key centers of excellence, etc.

#### Life sciences in-house platforms and tools

- Intellectual property (IP), platforms, and tools key to Life science services

---

Excerpt for EY
### Strengths

- **Organization:** Health Sciences & Wellness (HS&W) is the dedicated team covering health payers, providers, and life sciences (biopharma, medtech, and biotech). HS&W contributes 9% of overall EY revenue. Of that, life sciences constitutes 70% of total HS&W revenue. The life sciences focus areas aligned their services, offerings, and solutions to a buyer-based field-of-play framework along with area-level leads for each of key field of play or focus area, an intersection of industry and solutions expertise.
- **Growth:** The life sciences business growth was faster than both the broader EY business and the market CAGR for 2020.
- **Go-to-market:** Alignment with client agenda is the key principle EY applies to its GTM. It sequences competencies and capabilities to deliver to outcomes the client agenda defines.
- **Talent management:** EY leverages its strong global brand to attract talent, and it nurtures that talent with industry-specific expertise through ongoing training, sponsorship of an EY Tech MBA, EY badges to reflect expertise, e-learning, and a technology career framework that drives retention.
- **Outcomes:** EY maintains a long-term view on value creation driven by a purpose to make lasting positive impacts on its stakeholders. In sales and marketing, this purpose is reflected in supporting a client’s launch of 12+ rare-disease assets across CAPS, HIDS, FNF, and SJA, improving at least 100 million patients’ lives through connected medical systems and supporting three of the most significant oncology launches of the last decade. In the supply chain, example client-specific outcomes delivered include supporting a client in scaling the manufacturing of its COVID-19 vaccine by 1 billion doses in one year and helping it reduce the end-to-end cycle time required to produce the vaccine by nearly 70%.
- **Voice of the customer:** Relationship management, trust, and value proposition were viewed very positively by clients, who highlighted EY’s clear understanding of process design and data-centric models that support digital twins and optimization.

### Development opportunities

- **Growth:** Growth is strong, but the trajectory could be more consistent.
- **Voice of the customer:** Solutions are robust, but they require attention on scaling to ensure sufficient talent is available to support them.

### Geographic spread of clients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Americas</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
<th>APAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IT vs. BPS revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>IT</th>
<th>BPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project vs. annuity revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Project-based</th>
<th>Annuity-based</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Average maturity of capabilities across the life sciences value chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research and development</th>
<th>Supply chain</th>
<th>Sales and marketing</th>
<th>Pharmacovigilance</th>
<th>Regulatory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not a focus (1)</th>
<th>Emerging (2)</th>
<th>Mature (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Life sciences-relevant acquisitions and partnerships

- **Recent acquisitions:**
  - Pythagoras Communications: UK technology company and Microsoft Gold Partner (2021)  
  - Zilker Technology: Digital consultancy and systems integrator firm (2020)  
  - Pangea3: Legal outsourcing services firm (2019)

- **Partnerships:**
  - Adobe, Better, BoehringerIngelheim, IBM, Innoplexus, Microsoft, Pega, P&G, OMP, SAP, ServiceNow

- **Number of life sciences clients:** 7,500
  - Of the top life sciences companies, EY serves 100% of the top 20  
  - 90% of the top 50  
  - 75% of the top 100

### Global operations and resources

- **Life sciences headcount:** 23,500
- **Delivery locations:**
  - Global team of delivery and innovation resources supporting life sciences clients across the Americas, EMEA, and APAC  
  - Numerous COEs, innovation centers, and labs that support innovation, including:  
    - Global network of 50 wavespace collaboration facilities  
    - EY Quantum Space  
    - EY-Nottingham Spirk Innovation Hub  
    - EY / Microsoft Technology Experience Center (TEC)

### Life sciences in-house platforms and tools

- **Health Outcomes Platform (HOP):** A cloud-based, secure platform that radically simplifies how value-based pricing contracts are managed and reduces the associated risks for all parties.
- **EY Smart Reviewer:** A product leveraging AI (machine learning and natural language processing) and automation to significantly accelerate and optimize the review and approval of promotional materials and content.
- **QUADS (Quality Analytics and Decision Support):** An analytics-based solution to monitor quality systems’ performance and compliance to support timely and accurate regulatory reporting, and impact assessments.
- **Pointella:** A secure information exchange platform currently used in individualized care and genomics marketing (ICM) that collects and enables the trusted sharing of data and insights across the health eco-system.
- **EY Smart Factory:** Embeds manufacturing excellence by arming the shop floor with dynamic predictive data analytics, virtual reality, and AI to deliver unprecedented performance.
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Rohan Kulkarni  
Practice Leader – Healthcare and Life Sciences Research  
rohan.kulkarni@hfsresearch.com

Rohan leads the Healthcare practice at HFS, bringing to bear his vast experience across the healthcare ecosystem. His experience includes being the Head of Healthcare Strategy at multiple Fortune 500 companies, Product Management leader, and CIO at two health plans. He is passionate about the Triple Aim (improving health outcomes, reducing the cost of care, and enhancing the care experience) and believes that health and healthcare is a polymathic opportunity that intersects with every industry and facet of our lives. His well-rounded experience and passion bring a practical approach to his analyst role at HFS.

Rohan has an engineering degree from the University of Mysore, India, an MBA from the University of Dundee & the London School of Economics in the UK, and Product Management diploma from the Harvard Business School.

Mayank Madhur  
Associate Practice Leader  
mayank.madhur@hfsresearch.com

Mayank Madhur is an Associate Director, Research at HFS Research, supporting different practice leads in industry research, IoT, and supply chain by working on data analysis, PoVs, and research writing.

He holds a certificate in Strategic Management from IIM Kashipur. Mayank holds a Master’s in Business Administration from Birla Institute of Technology and Science College, Pilani (BITS, Pilani University) and a Bachelor’s in Engineering in Electrical and Electronics from Jawaharlal Nehru National College of Engineering (Visvesvaraya Technological University), Karnataka.
About HFS

Insight. Inspiration. Impact.

HFS is a unique analyst organization that combines deep visionary expertise with rapid demand side analysis of the Global 2000. Its outlook for the future is admired across the global technology and business operations industries. Its analysts are respected for their no-nonsense insights based on demand side data and engagements with industry practitioners.

HFS Research introduced the world to terms such as "RPA" (Robotic Process Automation) in 2012 and more recently, the HFS OneOffice™. The HFS mission is to provide visionary insight into the major innovations impacting business operations such as Automation, Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Internet of Things, Digital Business Models and Smart Analytics.

www.hfsresearch.com
hfsresearch
www.horsesforsources.com