Drivers for payments modernization

How can financial institutions address these challenges?

Modernization approach to payments architecture

Operational benefits of an architecture modernization

How do you implement change?
What is payments modernization?

Financial Institutions for the past decade have undergone various efforts to modernize their payments systems. Phase 1 of the payments ecosystem modernization effort was the consolidation effort of channel and payment client-facing applications over time either via a “hub” strategy or via strategic partnerships to perform these payment activities. The next phase is underway in which institutions are aligning to various global standards (i.e., ISO20022, Open Banking) and looking for ways to be able to add new faster payment methods and leverage the most cost-efficient rail to settle a payment. Clearing and settlement systems over time have not been able to meet the new challenges of faster time to market and the new demand to provide consumer instant-gratification experiences to their business clients. Institutions are looking at multiple ways to achieve their goals. The modernization approach now takes a holistic look at the end-to-end payments value chain, from client initiation to the clearing and settlement, targeting middle and back office functions to enable innovation and connect to new networks and schemes.
Drivers for payments modernization

Payments systems have always been complex but critical parts of the banking world. Over the last decade, the payments environment has become much more dynamic, creating even greater challenges for financial institutions. Complex regulatory requirements, outdated and poorly integrated legacy systems, and an increasingly competitive marketplace all put pressure on traditional financial institutions to evaluate opportunities for payments transformation. In addition, increasing demands to create a consistent customer experience across multiple access channels (i.e., omnichannel) are pushing banks to consider major technology investments as well as significant process and cost improvement activities. In this environment, bank executives are challenged to balance a range of considerations: customer experience, technology disruption, and regulation.

01 Customer Experience

Today, there are several interaction channels – Corporate, Commercial, Small to Medium Enterprises (SME), and Consumer – that clients leverage to complete payment activity where the need for a consistent and scalable architecture is in demand:

- **File Transfer**
  Transmission of files for payment processing via an alternative system (ERP) for batch processing

- **Desktop/online**
  Professionals logging on to initiate payments, perform reconciliation activities, and retrieve historical information (documentation/statements)

- **Mobile**
  As we have moved to being constantly on the go, performing the same services available via the desktop on mobile is as popular as ever
Most importantly, as new payment channels emerge and gain adoption across the industry, banks will be expected to integrate these channels quickly and seamlessly to retain and grow their client base. This client expectation is now a competitive imperative that most traditional banks struggle to address, given their fragmented payments environments.

- Significant platform and application fragmentation
- High total cost of ownership due to legacy and vendor systems
- Monolithic architecture does not allow for nimble changes
- Slow time to market for change to existing or new products and services
- Significant dependence on multiple vendors, each with their own aging solutions
- Risk of being unable to respond to regulatory mandates, cybersecurity and environmental threats

Increasingly sophisticated clients expect to be able to access every bank payment service from any channel, “when they want and how they want.” Clients expect consistent experiences and information across channels, and they want all fraud, risk management, authentication, and exception management activities to be seamless. Legacy payments architecture is often constrained for its inability to be scalable and responsive to changing client demands. There are multiple factors to consider when undergoing architecture transformation. Key pain points to address when defining a future payments ecosystem include:

- Significantly increased complexity and cost of operations across the ecosystem
- Slower time to market for change to existing or new products and services
- Decreased ability to innovate and introduce new products and services
- Increased risk of being unable to respond to regulatory mandates, cybersecurity and environmental threats

Most importantly, as new payment channels emerge and gain adoption across the industry, banks will be expected to integrate these channels quickly and seamlessly to retain and grow their client base. This client expectation is now a competitive imperative that most traditional banks struggle to address, given their fragmented payments environments.
Innovation

Tackling the task of effective payments transformation begins with identifying the challenges of a legacy payments environment. Siloed environments with a product-centric view of payments drive a host of redundancies, inefficiencies and inconsistent customer experiences. Layering in multiple vendors to assist with facilitating certain payment capabilities creates additional complexity. As the market demand moves toward an open-banking model with sharing and integrating through application program interfaces (APIs), there is a need for modernization to provide this plug-and-play experience. At the same time, non-traditional players are working to disintermediate banks from higher-margin, branded payments, even as they use traditional banks to do the heavy lifting on costly functions like customer service and complaints. The next disruption phase as open-banking gains wide adoption across the globe is the banking-as-a-service (BaaS) model. A nimble, modern and scalable architecture is required to participate in this service model. The lack of end-to-end consistency and seamless customer experience is particularly relevant in the digital space, where leading players are transforming their experience with vendors that are partnering to provide some of the services required to offer these payment capabilities.

The need for flexible, open, real-time, and easy-to-integrate solutions is critical when thinking about what the future payments ecosystem will look like. To fully understand the context for payments transformation, Ernst & Young LLP recommends viewing payments system challenges in the context of all drivers for change, using the framework below.

Customer experience

- Heightened customer expectations
  - Demand for speed and convenience
  - Seamless end-to-end user experience
  - Speed to market and “faster payments”
  - Immediate availability of funds

Innovation

- New, innovative products to enhance efficiency of payments
  - Need for scalable, real-time, and user-friendly solutions
  - Increased API connector enablement to address system integration needs
  - New, digitized capabilities that enrich current products and payment types

Regulations

- Trend towards open architecture
  - Regulation is fast-tracking customer-centric banking
  - New, level playing field for FinTechs
  - Increased scrutiny on privacy, security, resiliency, and resolution planning
  - Modernized, secure payments that provide customers customizable options

Intense regulatory environment

With the adoption of Open Banking standards and PSD2 in Europe, the journey to standardization when using APIs is in flight and will make its way to the US. The Berlin Group was created as a pan-European payment interoperability technical standardization body, focusing on detailed technical and organizational requirements to achieve a true open-banking environment. In the post-financial crisis world, resolution planning activities have driven further attention on having an enterprise view of payment activities that appear to mandate that banks have an end-to-end perspective on payments. The latest thinking from key regulators is that banks not only need to provide end-to-end payments reporting, but also must demonstrate end-to-end payments operations that treat critical functions as a business.
Why payments transformation is needed now

Why is change needed now? What is different this time vs. past attempts to tackle thorny payment issues?

The next wave of disruption with BaaS, as mentioned above, will see the need to begin modernizing the existing payments architecture. As banks have seen investment to their payments engines by building or partnering with a vendor, the need to scale and take advantage of emerging trends while controlling and reducing costs is imperative. We feel that as existing and new rails require maintenance and updates, now is the time to build for the future, allowing the ability to scale and adopting a modular component approach for the reusability and testability of future investments. This approach will help keep expense management in line while building for a future where scale, cloud, and micro-services will be leveraged to execute and communicate with partners and vendors throughout the payments value chain.
How can financial institutions address these challenges?

EY believes that while technology solutions play a key role, successful transformation requires a broader view that optimizes an end-to-end business system perspective, rather than a purely functional, product or even market segment view. When organizations start going down a technology path too quickly, the broader operating context and the business objectives that the organization wishes to achieve often get lost.

So, even though legacy infrastructure considerations, manual processes, and strict regulatory oversight create challenges for banks to pursue this agenda, we believe it is critical to approach payments transformation with this end-to-end perspective. Financial institutions can address these challenges with a combination of the following:

- Payments process optimization
- Payments platform of the future

**Payments process optimization**

Effective payments transformation should start with an end-to-end assessment of the entire payment business system. The end-to-end business system analysis should identify key metrics, including costs, transaction volumes, processing times, and other key requirements that may vary across segments. As the diagram below illustrates, the costs and metrics will highlight priorities for operating model and technology architecture improvement.

Ultimately, the goal is for each bank to understand the end-to-end customer requirements for each payment path, with a view to creating shared infrastructure and processes wherever possible while preserving the appropriate customer-driven distinctions for specific channels, segments and offerings. This will enable the development of business services that can be initially created and shared across each payment path. When payment processing functions are similar, they can be handled as utilities, with rules to accommodate differences.
Optimization should outline not only the most obvious technology and process requirements, but also look for opportunities to transform processes to reduce waste, enhance self-service, improve customer experience and speed outcomes, not to simply automate processes or move them from one platform to another. It’s also critical to consider key people issues, including fungibility of customer support resources, level of knowledge and back office infrastructure required to address exceptions. Probably the most critical and most neglected issue is that a clear framework is needed for initiative governance to ensure decision-making is comprehensive AND efficient so projects don’t stall due to management decision-making or resource allocation issues.

A comprehensive review of payments processes would identify a set of services and processes that are common across parts of the value chain which can easily be consolidated into a common utility or process. By examining the life of a payment when processed, its different phases and steps can be translated into enterprise components. Some of these can be consolidated right away, while other components can be added later. Supporting technology capabilities can immediately begin to exploit the commonalities across operating silos and build in flexibility to accommodate key differences.

**Payments platform of the future**

Past legacy platform approaches focused on rationalizing the payments platforms by consolidation, and over time with acquisitions and mergers banks realized that duplicative platforms were set up performing the same activities. This included multiple systems performing similar payment transactions (e.g., ACH) between channel applications, and multiple integration points via different middleware and file parsing systems throughout the now complicated architecture.

Step 2 of this modernization journey is now taking the framework initially created through consolidation to have a single consolidated payments platform supporting channels, middle office and back-end systems, and with clear API end points for integration, with the goal to support the next evolution of BaaS. BaaS will open platforms for innovations and consistent regulatory compliance standards (i.e., Open Banking Standards). For this step to be successful, lessons learned from step 1 and a strategic vendor partner are key.
Modernization approach to payments architecture

Modernization principles

The proposed modernization approach augments current investments and focuses on the unique transaction experience provided while achieving scalability through vendors and commoditized solutions. This approach is executed by the key components of orchestrated payment capabilities and a vendor integration strategy which is built on a cloud-based architecture.

To move away from the current legacy monolithic payments architecture or to enhance efforts completed in step 1 of the modernization journey, we believe in a strategy around key characteristics that will allow banks to finally modernize their infrastructure. A modern payments architecture can be built on a cloud or hybrid model using a modern, microservice-based framework that empowers organizations with scalability, seamless integrations with vendors, and a nimble and adaptive ecosystem.

We believe the journey of the architecture transformation should align to core modernization principles that underpin and set a foundation that will scale and evolve as further investment and development occurs.
Vendor integration strategy
- Maintain ownership of end-to-end payments intake, processing, and clearing activities
- Integrate individual components of business processes as separate vendor services
- Establish buffered architecture between external vendor code and internal legacy systems

Micro services
- Service-driven payment value chain, segmented into specific, independent components able to plug into the overall payments engine as needed
- Independent components offer enhanced resiliency, differing from older, monolithic systems that tightly integrate components within a large, single deployment

Orchestrated payment capability
- Reduce build vs. buy friction by enabling vendor and/or in-house components to be connected via APIs
- Improve platform adaptability and maintenance by modeling and deploying business processes outside of vendor packages

Fast transformation engine
- Ability for fast, in-process data transformation between different end points
- Streamlines data movement and aligns with larger enterprise data strategy efforts and industry standardization (i.e., ISO20022)

Cloud-based architecture
- Scale and provision on demand based on shifting business needs, providing significant flexibility and operational efficiency
- Enable modular and independent deployments of micro services rather than large, “big bang” releases

Continuous delivery
- Enables testing and iterative delivery of nimble API-based components that can change independently
- Legacy programs frequently focused on an end-to-end delivery of functionality, causing long lead times to realization of business value

Building modern components including a vendor integration layer offers flexibility and control, allowing to incorporate additional technologies quickly to scale up when required. EY works closely with all the key vendors in the financial services space to help clients identify and implement appropriate technology solutions that are best aligned with client needs. Understanding the complex nature of most global banks’ payments environments, EY does not believe that there is a “silver bullet” that solves all payments systems challenges.
Example modern payments architecture

Intake
- Client onboarding
- Portal
- Mobile/app
- File transmission
- API gateway

Omnichannel strategy

Process
Payments engine framework

Foundation
- Workflow engine
- Business rules
- Data transformation framework
- ISO20022 store
- Workflow store
- Reporting store

Microservices
- Authorization service
- Admin service
- Risk/fraud service
- Alerts service
- Audit service
- Import export service
- Reporting service
- Limits service

Clear
- Clearing and settlement systems and DDA interfaces
- Legacy middleware

Legend
- In-house component
- Vendor component
Vendor integration and decision matrix

Legacy build vs. buy approaches have yielded mixed success. Clients who have gone all-in with one vendor have been stuck with too many vendor product customizations to meet their business needs and hence have failed in their flexibility goal, as many FinTechs have entered different areas of the payment value chain offering these flexible and scalable experiences. A modern approach recommended by EY is the middle-of-the-road approach to find a strategic vendor partner for commodity payment product solutions that provide industry-standard payment formats (ISO20022) and processing (settling and connections to payment rails) while maintaining an architecture recommended below to avoid vendor lock-in and to create a flexible payments ecosystem that does not solely rely on the vendor for all change when future needs arise.

While partnering with a vendor to complete the payments modernization journey, a defined strategy is required to determine when to use a vendor’s capabilities vs. building these services in-house. There are many drivers to consider when making this decision including cost, strategic value or competitive advantage and current competency across people, process and technology (systems). To assist with making these decisions, EY uses a decision matrix to understand where to best invest or partner with a vendor (see illustrative example below).

Partnering with a vendor can present several benefits:

- Vendor keeps platform current with:
  - Regulatory updates and requirements – both domestic and globally
  - Card network regulations
  - Industry standardization (i.e., ISO20022)
  - Data privacy (i.e., GDPR)
- Vendor products have a history of proven scalability in production
- Faster time to market given that vendor has a vetted in-production solution

In this matrix, EY uses a strategic value/competitive advantage axis against a competency axis to determine the best course of action.

**Invest**

Make the strategic investment to build capabilities – Function has strategic value to financial institution but does not have a high competency in driving out this function. Make the strategic investment to own through either diverting/investing resources or through acquisition.

**Innovate**

Continue to innovate and invest in these capabilities to maintain market position – The financial institution has the capabilities to develop and innovate while it is of high strategic value. This could be a function that is differentiating in the marketplace.

**Buy and redeploy**

Capitalise on vendor commodity solutions and redeploy highly skilled resources – Functions that have a low strategic value but the financial institution has high competency. Buy the capability (either platform or outsource process) for all the buy benefits and redeploy resources – people, investment – to more strategic functions (e.g., internal payments engine).

**Buy**

Leverage vendors for commodity solutions – Functions that have low strategic value and the financial institution has low competency (can be specific expertise like tax function) – just buy the capability (either platform or outsource).
Orchestration, data and cloud-based architecture

New bank systems are being designed and implemented to leverage APIs that help them not only to connect with their in-house payments technology across payment channels but also vendor components, which allows for execution of key process steps with the in-house payment technology still owning the orchestration capability. Before the decision on whether or not to operate on a cloud-based platform, the fundamental success of a modern payments platform lies in its breakup of monolithic architecture. Microservices are the new approach to create modular components that have finite business and operational boundaries that work independently to provide a set of services and capabilities that can be plugged into an orchestration engine for creating a flexible deployment model. Continuous delivery in the current payments landscape is a challenge for any bank as they look at their component deployment model. The ability to scale up and down in an infrastructure-as-a-service (IaaS) or platform-as-a-service (PaaS) model is restricted due to the interdependencies of these components and the complex deployment. A holistic view of the component breakdown and its associated deployment model is a prerequisite to the cloud deployment model.

An environment without boundaries and one that is accessible and scalable is a key element when building the next payments ecosystem. Public or private cloud models enable ubiquitous, on-demand access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., computer networks, servers, storage, applications and services) which can be rapidly provisioned and released in direct response to business need. This model, whether a fully integrated cloud or a hybrid model with components in the cloud and on-premise, will allow cost to be configured on a pay-per-use basis and truly enable the future of a BaaS.

How data is stored and transmitted is critical when building a scalable, nimble ecosystem. A strategy is needed in which data is stored and transmitted and where, if a payment step in the life cycle is interrupted, that step is logged and resumed when the system goes back online and is operational.
Data ingested from data sources, both Transactional Data Stores and external/third-party sources.

An integrated data hub can be augmented using Big Data platform and tools to provide alternate data analytics for different use cases.

EDW can be augmented using Big Data platform and tools by offloading pre-processing and constraining EDW for reporting.

Underlying Big Data platform can serve as a Transactional Data Store in limited but critical circumstances (HBase, MongoDB, ElasticSearch, Titan).

Data flows from the IODH and EDW into the Enterprise Data Lake. Validated data products and models deployed back to IODS and DW.

Data analyst and scientists can use the platform to perform advanced query/report functions or build models.
Examples in action

Payment flow based on a microservice modern architecture

Each function in the payment chain is a microservice that is either an in-house-built payment service or an enterprise service or vendor-provided service called via an API. Dynamic end-to-end payment orchestration is built and owned in-house for better efficiency and experience.

Wire validation and release process

Legend
- In-house payments technology owned
- End-to-end payments orchestration owned in-house
- In-house non-payments technology enterprise services owned
- Vendor components

End-to-end validation and release process:
- **Check and validate account**
- **Validate wire details**
- **Execute fraud check**
- **Passed fraud check**
- **Executive sanctions check**
- **Passed sanctions check**
- **Release wire to clearing**

Invalid account:
- Return wire request
- API call to Enterprise services

Flagged fraud check:
- Return wire request
- API call to Enterprise services

Invalid wire account:
- Return wire request
- API call to vendor

Passed fraud check:
- Passed sanctions check
- Release wire to clearing
Sample payment flow based on a microservice modern architecture with a vendor application

Building upon a vendor integration strategy empowers organizations to plug and play and control key pieces of the platform, use open source tools with low-cost licensing, and reduce SDLC. Each function in the payment chain is a microservice that is either an in-house-built payment service or an enterprise service or vendor-provided service called via an API. Vendor package(s), while adding value-added business services, are often not able to provide APIs at a granular level to be integrated within the end-to-end orchestrated flow. Hence, the model below demonstrates the interaction between a vendor boxed business process flow and the in-house orchestration engine that controls the end-to-end payments value chain. Dynamic end-to-end payment orchestration is built and owned in-house for better efficiency and experience using industry-standard BPMN tools. The below example is a use case where wire validation and release process is calling out the vendor process for wires validation based on the cutoff and ABA lookups.

Wire validation and release process

Legend

- In-house payments technology owned
- In-house non-payments technology
- Enterprise services owned
- Vendor components
Operational benefits of an architecture modernization

As you journey to modernize your payments infrastructure, the benefits that will be realized will allow for your payments ecosystem to be ready to tackle the ever-changing drivers outlined earlier.

**Decreased cost**
- Greater agility implementing modifications to the ecosystem
- Creation of common services offers lower cost by building once and reusing across different payment channels
- Limited vendor customization requirements, minimizing total delivery costs

**Enhanced resiliency**
- Improved failover for each individual component
- The disaggregation of payments services ensures 24/7 availability of the ecosystem should a single service go down
- If the system goes down, the payment path is not required to restart because of the persistence of each stage of the orchestration

**Faster deployment**
- Common services and components allow testability individually and integrated into an orchestration
- Integrate with legacy payments engines without full engine replacement
- Speed to market with new alternative payments channels to address shifting business and market needs
How do you implement change?

Road map
To truly realize and adopt a modern architecture, we have outlined a two-part road map largely around strategy and implementation.

Legend
- Strategy
- Implementation

Ideation and conceptualization
Identify key initiatives and standards selection to align with an enterprise-wide modernization approach.

Business case and product strategy
Understand key use cases for modernizing payments with a business plan to support the cost of build and to clearly articulate when potential benefit can be realized.

Planning and design
Perform a capability assessment to understand current and target functions along with identified gaps and an industry outlook to see where market leaders fall in the journey.

Vendor selection
A full vendor assessment with integration review to understand and future-proof innovation capabilities. Understand key vendor requirements and guidelines to ensure that the partnership will be successful without the need to fully customize a potential solution.

Agile delivery road map
Developing an agile delivery plan with clearly identified sprints and MVP selection will allow for progress tracking and offer the ability to iterate effortlessly. Included in the sprints are vendor and integration partner tests of MVP criteria to support a successful implementation.

Execution implementation
Production implementation including the target-state infrastructure deployment with vendor integration.

Risk and controls
Definition of a vendor engagement model inclusive of defined controls and the incorporation of lines of defense, allowing your environment to remain secure.

Operational readiness
Definition of process exception handling and risk and compliance impacts to support the new and ongoing architecture enhancements. AML and KYC requirements as new payment channels are developed or enhanced. The requirements for 24/7 uptime and support to ensure a fully scalable and always-on solution.
Given the rapid pace of change in payments modernization, as well as the various stages institutions are currently in their journey, we believe that the question isn’t to pause or stop and reassess. The goal is to continue your journey following the guiding principles outlined above to future-proof the new capabilities being built to maximize your investments on current systems. If you haven’t begun the modernization journey, leveraging the guiding principles above outlines a proven framework in modernizing legacy systems in a way that will allow for continuous enhancement, provide the opportunity to build and integrate future technologies, and maximize the investment to move money safely, efficiently, and continue to be innovative in giving your customer a truly differentiating experience. Modernization should be aligned with the strategic initiatives at your institution to start the journey leveraging investments already underway to future-proof the new capabilities and to maximize your investments on current systems.
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