

# Mobility: immigration alert

March 2021

## United States

### Form I-944, Declaration of Self-Sufficiency discontinued

#### Executive summary

On 9 March 2021, United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) announced that it will no longer require Form I-944 Declaration of Self Sufficiency, or any evidence or documentation required on that form when filing for Adjustment of Status.

#### Background and Analysis

On 2 February 2021, President Biden issued Executive Order 14012, directing the Secretary of Homeland Security to review the actions of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) related to the implementation of the public charge ground of inadmissibility. As part of its review, DHS announced that defending the 2019 Public Charge Rule is neither in the public interest nor an efficient use of limited government resources. As a result, the Department of Justice (DOJ) will no longer pursue appellate review of judicial decisions invalidating or restricting the rule's enforcement. Consistent with this announcement, on 9 March 2021 the DOJ filed motions to dismiss its pending appeals in various circuit courts. Following a dismissal in the seventh circuit, the final judgement from the Northern District of Illinois, which vacated the 2019 Public Charge Rule, went into effect.

As such, the 1999 interim field guidance on the public charge admissibility is now in effect and does not require filing of new form I-944s.

#### What this means

As of 9 March 2021, USCIS will no longer require applicants filing for adjustment of status to include Form I-944, Declaration of Self Sufficiency. Additionally, the extensive list of supporting documentation previously required for Form I-944 (including tax returns, credit reports, health insurance, proof of assets, and other personal financial documents and information) will not need to be included as part of I-485 adjustment of status applications, effective immediately.

If an applicant previously included Form I-944 with an adjustment of status application that remains pending, USCIS will not consider any information provided that relates solely to the 2019 Public Charge Rule when adjudicating the application. If an applicant has received a Request for Evidence (RFE) relating to the I-944 and the RFE is due on or after 9 March 2021, we recommend responding to it with a copy of the USCIS website which advises that the I-944 requirement has been discontinued. That can be found here: <https://www.uscis.gov/i-944>. Individuals should, however, continue to respond to other aspects of RFEs apart from the I-944 that otherwise pertain to the eligibility for the immigration benefit sought.

EY Law will continue to monitor these developments. Should you have any questions, we encourage you to contact one of our U.S. immigration professionals.



EY Law LLP

## EY | Building a better working world

EY exists to build a better working world, helping create long-term value for clients, people and society and build trust in the capital markets.

Enabled by data and technology, diverse EY teams in over 150 countries provide trust through assurance and help clients grow, transform and operate.

Working across assurance, consulting, law, strategy, tax and transactions, EY teams ask better questions to find new answers for the complex issues facing our world today.

For more information, please visit [ey.com/ca](https://ey.com/ca).

Follow us on Twitter @EYCanada.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. Information about how EY collects and uses personal data and a description of the rights individuals have under data protection legislation are available via [ey.com/privacy](https://ey.com/privacy). EY member firms do not practice law where prohibited by local laws. For more information about our organization, please visit [ey.com](https://ey.com).

### About EY Law LLP

EY Law LLP is a Canadian law firm, affiliated with Ernst & Young LLP in Canada. Both EY Law LLP and Ernst & Young LLP are Ontario limited liability partnerships. EY Law LLP has no association or relationship with Ernst & Young LLP in the US, or any of its members. Ernst & Young LLP in the US does not practice law, nor does it provide immigration or legal services. For more information, please visit [EYLaw.ca](https://EYLaw.ca).

© 2021 EY Law LLP.

All Rights Reserved.

A member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

EYG no. 002165-21Gb1

This publication contains information in summary form, current as of the date of publication, and is intended for general guidance only. It should not be regarded as comprehensive or a substitute for professional advice. Before taking any particular course of action, contact EY or another professional advisor to discuss these matters in the context of your particular circumstances. We accept no responsibility for any loss or damage occasioned by your reliance on information contained in this publication.

[ey.com/en\\_ca](https://ey.com/en_ca)

George Reis, Managing Partner  
+1 416 943 2535  
[george.reis@ca.ey.com](mailto:george.reis@ca.ey.com)

Batia Stein, Partner  
+1 416 943 3593  
[batia.j.stein@ca.ey.com](mailto:batia.j.stein@ca.ey.com)

Roxanne Israel, Partner  
+1 403 206 5086  
[roxanne.n.israel@ca.ey.com](mailto:roxanne.n.israel@ca.ey.com)

Authored by:  
Heather Adamick, Associate Attorney  
+1 403 592 9942  
[heather.adamick@ca.ey.com](mailto:heather.adamick@ca.ey.com)

Alex Israel, Partner  
+1 416 943 2698  
[alex.d.israel@ca.ey.com](mailto:alex.d.israel@ca.ey.com)

Christopher Gordon, Partner  
+1 416 943 2544  
[christopher.d.gordon@ca.ey.com](mailto:christopher.d.gordon@ca.ey.com)

Jonathan Leebosh, Partner  
+1 604 899 3560  
[jonathan.e.leebosh@ca.ey.com](mailto:jonathan.e.leebosh@ca.ey.com)