

# How credit funds can operationally transform their valuation process



# Accurate pricing by credit fund managers is crucial in today's economic environment. Operational improvements may be key to success.

A decade ago, as the global economy entered a recession and governments struggled to save the big banks, enforced capital requirements curtailed bank lending. In the banks' place, credit funds increasingly stepped in to fill the void.

Although interest rates have increased recently, these credit funds continue to pull in money from return deprived investors. Leading money managers, especially those that concentrate their fund raising in the private space, such as hedge funds and private equity funds, have launched new lending vehicles on a regular basis.

Despite this surge in activity, money managers continue to sit on large amounts of dry powder - a result of waiting for the right investment opportunities in an overvalued and uncertain economic environment. This has resulted in a crowded market with an excess of lenders chasing too few deals.

However, opportunities abound in relatively untapped markets such as real estate and infrastructure, and specifically in the distressed (trading between 50% and 75% of par) and deeply distressed (trading at less than 50% of par) asset categories.

For credit managers operating in these niche markets, the low liquidity levels stemming from a lack of buyers means they need to pay close attention to their valuation policies and procedures. Investors, regulators and auditors are demanding stronger levels of pricing precision than what managers have been accustomed to.

In this article, we highlight the differences between capital preservation and return maximizing strategies. We also introduce three best practices that funds should consider when addressing the demand from investors, auditors and regulators of higher levels of pricing precision.

This is the first article in a series that will further explore the operational nuances of valuation for a credit fund.



By Govind Mohan, CFA EY Americas FSO Assurance Senior Manager, Wealth & Asset Management



## Capital preservation strategies

Capital preservation strategies are favored by investors who aren't seeking significant capital gains but rather a reliable and steady income stream. They come in multiple asset classes:

- ► Senior corporate debt is the most common asset class it is covered by numerous vendors and pricing issues are uncommon. The large proportion of senior debt traded by credit funds also means that back-testing analyses can efficiently validate any nuanced pricing issues that may occur.
- ► **Mezzanine debt** is a common capital preservation product where managers make subordinated loans to middle market borrowers who are willing to pay cash returns in excess of single digits. Equity kickers are often thrown in as an upside opportunity for capital appreciation but the primary focus for managers is generating steady income from the borrowers. Pricing is usually uncomplicated pricing teams can evaluate changes in implied yield and compare such yield movements with those observed by comparable issuers.
- Bank debt is a third asset class, where loans are arranged by banks with participation from lenders such as credit funds, often with first priority in bankruptcy claims. Considering the popularity of this class, many vendors provide prices, in addition to key metrics that give further credence to the price, such as liquidity scores and depth scores.
- Sovereign debt from stable, developed economies remains an attractive asset class. Nations that are not going through periods of financial or political upheaval will have active, liquid pricing available from most vendors.

#### Return maximizing strategies

Return maximizing strategies are often undertaken by private equity firms that hold long-term investments and whose investors are familiar with extended lock-up periods.

Generating cash to pay for interest is challenging for issuers of distressed bonds and especially for deeply distressed issuers. Therefore, returns are typically generated through paid-in-kind interest, liquidation preferences in a restructuring scenario, and success fees for operational and financial improvements. Considering the lack of liquidity in these positions, a large number may need to be priced in-house by the valuation committee or by a third-party valuation firm.

Credit managers may also use collateralized securities to boost returns. Securitized products are generally followed by specific vendors. Key features such as prepayment rates, default rates and recovery rates may need to be broken out and independently assessed on a periodic basis to validate whether the pricing received from the vendors is correct.

# Three leading practices for pricing

By following these three practices up front, your fund gains immediate credibility from its stakeholders.



A pricing policy determines a baseline valuation approach for investments a firm holds or intends to make. The policy should be forward thinking and offer guidelines for a variety of vehicles that are synchronized with the firm's investment strategy.

#### Govind Mohan, CFA

EY Americas FSO Assurance Senior Manager, Wealth & Asset Management



## 1) Establish a valuation policy and committee

Ideally, a pricing policy must be established at the beginning of the first launch of funds by a new manager.

One of the most critical pieces of a policy is for the running of missing or stale price reports on a frequent basis and the number of days in which securities on these reports are allowed to exist before they are brought up to the attention of the valuation committee.

The other ad hoc responsibility for the valuation committee is an evaluation of overrides initiated by the front office. Overrides on vendor prices routinely occur in situations where company specific circumstances unknown to vendors cause them to be inaccurate. For example, sovereign debt of a country in the middle of a financial crisis may need to be manually adjusted in the pricing system after valuation committee approval.

Other than these ad hoc responsibilities, we also recommend that the committee meets on a formal basis (at least quarterly) to discuss the overall portfolio, including sourcing of prices, complex positions that require independent assessment by a thirdparty valuation firm, and back-testing results with a focus on trades that breach predetermined thresholds.

Further, at least annually, a committee should assess results of vendor and broker diligence and any motions to amend the valuation policy (as applicable).

- ► A committee typically consists of:
- Senior accountants, e.g., the CFO and the controller
- Senior members of the pricing operations team
- ► Independent members of the firm's board of directors
- A rotating selection of deal team professionals who have a direct relationship with management and can maintain an unbiased approach to portfolio pricing

Decisions are normally made with a unanimous or at least an absolute majority vote. Minutes from meetings should be filed for future use by regulators or auditors, or for review by the committee at a future date.

# 2) Source prices effectively

In a low-yield, high-valuation environment, accurate pricing is crucial to investors putting their trust in credit managers.

Brokers offer arguably more accurate pricing than vendors. However, cost cutting and an improvement in pricing performance by vendors has led to a sharp increase in their use.

Numerous vendors operate in the credit space and actively seeking two to three vendor sources for each asset type is highly recommended. Periodic backtesting of vendor prices to security transactions, in addition to comparing selected vendor prices with those of independent brokers, can help to validate the accuracy of vendor feeds.

Distressed positions may only be followed by one vendor. In these situations, the fund manager should also compare prices from brokers that trade these positions to ensure accuracy.

If prices cannot be sourced from either a vendor or a broker, a firm's pricing committee may have to resort to privately valuing the security either inhouse or by using a third-party specialist.

Primary vendors consistently provide the best data when comparisons are run to brokers with direct access to the market, or when their prices are backtested periodically against transactions undertaken by the credit manager.



Primary vendors consistently provide the best data when comparisons are run to brokers with direct access to the market, or when their prices are back-tested periodically against transactions undertaken by the credit manager.



# 3) Do your diligence

The initial vendor diligence process should focus on the extent of the vendor's coverage across the manager's preferred assets. It is also important to evaluate the market experience of the pricing team. Managers may want to run statistical comparisons over a select set of prices sourced by their existing vendor against those from a potential new one. It is also important to analyze the vendor's protection against data loss and cyber threats.

Ongoing broker due diligence helps evaluate if the brokers used as a price check against vendors are effective and reliable. When a single broker follows a very unique asset type, quotes must be routinely back-tested to ensure accuracy. Furthermore, site visits and in-depth vetting questionnaires may be used to provide further assurances on broker quality.

# Pricing responsibly

Credit funds have been enjoying a long period of popularity since the tail-end of the financial crises. This is especially true for private equity managers, who have branched into private credit on a wholesale basis to take advantage of debt deals that diversify their income streams and tend to be aligned with their existing equity deals.

With this resurgence comes a tremendous pricing responsibility to investors. Dedicating the right resources to this task is of utmost importance.

Valuing credit assets during a volatile economic recovery can be unnerving. A well-seasoned valuation approach, with the right amount of operational rigor, can stand strong against winds of change.





With over 13 years of experience in alternative funds, Govind focuses on serving clients in private equity and private credit. He has advised companies at various stages of growth, including publicly listed firms, on internal control enhancements especially around the valuation function.

He spearheads the robotics and automation capabilities of the Los Angeles alternative fund practice by leading teams to develop efficiency plays using advanced analytics tools. An avid writer, Govind recently coauthored a whitepaper on the resurgence of interval funds.

Beginning his career with EY in Philadelphia, he relocated to Los Angeles in 2015. He earned his MBA in Finance from The Wharton School, an MS in Accounting from The University of Virginia, and a BS in Economics from Ohio Wesleyan University. Additionally, Govind is a CFA charter holder and a CPA in California and Pennsylvania.

#### How Govind is building a better working world

Govind helps build a better working world by striving to help clients consistently outperform their prior results. He is extremely focused on helping his clients discover new ways to innovate as well as operate efficiently. As technology accelerates at hyper-speed, he seeks to never let his teams or clients fall behind.

#### EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

#### **About EY**

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.

This news release has been issued by EYGM Limited, a member of the global EY organization that also does not provide any services to clients.

#### About EY's Assurance Services

Our assurance services help our clients meet their reporting requirements by providing an objective and independent examination of the financial statements that are provided to investors and other stakeholders. Throughout the audit process, our teams provide a timely and constructive challenge to management on accounting and reporting matters and a robust and clear perspective to audit committees charged with oversight.

The quality of our audits starts with our 60,000 assurance professionals, who have the breadth of experience and ongoing professional development that come from auditing many of the world's leading companies.

For every client, we assemble the right multidisciplinary team with the sector knowledge and subject matter knowledge to address your specific issues. All teams use our Global Audit Methodology and latest audit tools to deliver consistent audits worldwide.

© 2019 EYGM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

EYG no. 000174-19Gbl WR #1902-3045393 ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

#### ey.com