# EY Tax Alert

CESTAT holds GST ITC cannot be utilized towards pre-deposit for appeals under earlier regime

Tax Alerts cover significant tax news, developments and changes in legislation that affect Indian businesses. They act as technical summaries to keep you on top of the latest tax issues. For more information, please contact your EY advisor.

### **Executive summary**

This Tax Alert summarizes a recent ruling of the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT), Allahabad on utilization of Input Tax Credit (ITC) under Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (CGST Act) for payment of mandatory pre-deposit under Central Excise Act, 1944 (CEA).

Assessee had preferred an appeal under CEA before the first appellate authority. Accordingly, it made a pre-deposit of 7.5% of the disputed amount by way of reversal of ITC under CGST Act in its GSTR-3B return.

Commissioner (Appeals) rejected the appeal on the ground that mandatory pre-deposit as per Section 35 of the CEA was not made. Assessee preferred an appeal before CESTAT by paying additional 2.5% of the impugned amount as pre-deposit through DRC-03.

CESTAT relied on the judgement of Orissa High Court  $(HC)^2$ , wherein it was held that as per Section 41 of CGST Act, credit lying in electronic credit ledger (ECRL) can be utilized only for payment of self-assessed output tax. Further, the High Court had held that "output tax", as defined under Section 2(82) could not be equated to the predeposit required to be made in terms of Section 107(6).

It observed that decision of the HC is binding on the Tribunal and hence, held that mandatory deposit under Section 35F of CEA cannot be made by way of debit in the ECRL maintained under CGST Act.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2022-TIOL-777-CESTAT-ALL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2021(10) TMI-524- Orissa High Court

### Background

- Assessee had filed an appeal under Central Excise Act, 1944 (CEA) before first appellate authority. Accordingly, it made a pre-deposit of 7.5% of the disputed amount by way of reversal of Input Tax Credit (ITC) under Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (CGST Act) in its GSTR-3B.
- First Appellate authority rejected Appellant's appeal on the ground that it had not made mandatory pre-deposit as per Section 35 of the CEA.
- Aggrieved by the same, Assessee appealed before the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT), Allahabad by depositing an additional 2.5% of the impugned amount vide DRC-03 challan.

### Assessee's Contentions

- Section 35F of the CEA does not specify any method for payment of pre-deposit and various Courts have upheld the eligibility to utilize CENVAT credit balance for payment of mandatory pre-deposit.
- As per Section 142(7) of the CGST Act, present appeal should be disposed of in accordance with provisions of the erstwhile laws. Consequently, payment of mandatory pre-deposit using credit balance should be permitted in line with the position under the erstwhile regime as upheld in various High Court (HC) decisions and Circular no. 15/CESTAT/General/2013-14<sup>3</sup>.
- Since old CENVAT credit lying in balance has been transitioned to Goods and Services Tax (GST) regime and forms part of GST credit pool, there should not be any restriction in utilization of that credit.
- CENVAT credit is duty already suffered by the assessee and hence debit entry in ITC should be treated as compliance by payment in cash.
- Central Board of Excise and Customs (CBIC) vide Circular<sup>4</sup>, had clarified that recovery of arrears arising under erstwhile laws shall be made as central tax liability which can be paid through the utilization of the amount available in the electronic credit ledger (ECRL) or electronic cash ledger (ECL) of the registered person.
- The Board again vide Circular<sup>5</sup> clarified that taxpayers may reverse the wrongly availed CENVAT credit under the erstwhile law and inadmissible transitional credit through GSTR-3B.
  - Payment of pre-deposit through ITC reversal has been well accepted by Bangalore CESTAT in the case of Dell International Services India Pvt Ltd<sup>6</sup> and the same has been accepted by Department.

- Delhi HC in Amit Gupta<sup>7</sup> held that the bail amount can be paid by ECL as well as by debit of ECRL.
- Orissa HC in the case of Jyoti Construction<sup>8</sup> had held that "output tax", as defined under Section 2(82) of the CGST Act could not be equated to the pre-deposit required to be made in terms of Section 107(6).
  - However, the said judgment is not relevant to the facts of the present case as it was rendered in the context of GST provisions and not with respect to erstwhile provisions.
- Also, in Jyoti Construction (supra) reliance was placed on Section 41(2) of CGST Act which is proposed to be amended in terms of Finance Act, 2022 and condition for utilization of credit only for payment of output tax is being done away with. When the proposed amendment would come into effect, there would be no restriction even under GST laws to debit ECRL for payment of predeposit.
- Further, procedural amendments are clarificatory in nature and retrospectively applicable as held in the case of Vatika Township (P) Ltd<sup>9</sup>.
- Presently, DRC-03 on GST portal gives an option of making pre-deposit either through ECL or ECRL. This shows that the intention of the legislature is to allow pre-deposit payment through ECRL.
- Moreover, other Benches of CESTAT also have allowed the payment of pre-deposit through GST credit.

### Revenue's Contentions

- First Appellate Authority has correctly held that Section 35 of the CEA does not provide an option of making pre-deposit by way of reversing CGST credit from ECRL.
- Section 174(2)(f) of CGST Act envisages continuation of past cases of CEA as if such Act had not been repealed. Accordingly, pre-deposit should be made under Section 35F of the CEA and not under CGST Act.
  - Assessee already has central excise registration and there is no valid reason to make payment under CGST Act.
- Moreover, in case the appeal is allowed, refund of ITC reversal may not be possible because there is no provision for refund of ITC under section 54(3) of the CGST Act, except on account of zero-rated supply and ITC accumulated due to inverted duty structure.
- Furthermore, since there are conflicting decisions of the Tribunal on this issue, decision of the HC in the case of Jyoti Construction (supra) would prevail.

EY Tax Alert 2

<sup>3</sup> Dated 28 August 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Circular No. 42/16/2018-GST Dated 13 April 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Circular No. 58/32/2018-GST Dated 4 September 2018

<sup>6 2019</sup> TIOL-286-CESTAT-BANG

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 2022-TIOL-641-HC-DEL-GST

<sup>8 2021(10)</sup> TMI-524- Orissa High Court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> [2014] 49 taxmann.com 249

## **CESTAT Ruling**

- As per Section 41 of CGST Act, credit lying in ECRL can be utilized only for payment of self-assessed output tax.
- ➤ The order of the Tribunal in the case of Dell International India Services Pvt Ltd (*supra*) was an interim consent order.
- Moreover, the Orrisa HC (supra) after considering the provisions of CGST Act held that the said Act has no provision for utilization of CENVAT credit, other than for payment of self-assessed output tax.
- The decision of the HC is binding on the Tribunal and assessee has not produced any judgment of any other HC under GST era which supports its contentions.
- Further, the case laws relied by Assessee are different from the facts of the present case and cannot be relied upon.
- Hence, it was held that mandatory deposit under Section 35F of CEA cannot be made by way of debit in the ECRL maintained under CGST Act.

### Comments

- a. The ruling is likely to result in additional cash outflow in the hands of taxpayers, even if they have sufficient balance in credit ledger.
- b. Practically we understand that taxpayers are making pre-deposit using ITC balance and the same is not disputed by the department in many cases. However, it is expected that Revenue may challenge the same considering this CESTAT decision.
- c. It is worthwhile to note that CBIC vide Circular 172/04/2022 had clarified that GST ITC can be utilized for self-assessed liability or the amount payable as a consequence of any proceeding instituted under GST. Interestingly, this circular does not seem to have been considered by CESTAT.
- d. A similar clarification from CBIC for allowing utilization of GST ITC for appeals under erstwhile regime, if issued, will reduce unwarranted litigations.

EY Tax Alert Page | 3

### Our offices

#### **Ahmedabad**

22nd Floor, B Wing, Privilon Ambli BRT Road, Behind Iskcon Temple, Off SG Highway Ahmedabad - 380 059 Tel: +91 79 6608 3800

#### Bengaluru

12th & 13th floor "UB City", Canberra Block No. 24, Vittal Mallya Road Bengaluru - 560 001 Tel: +91 80 6727 5000

Ground Floor, 'A' wing Divyasree Chambers # 11, O'Shaughnessy Road Langford Gardens Bengaluru - 560 025 Tel: +91 80 6727 5000

#### Chandigarh

Elante offices, Unit No. B-613 & 614 6th Floor, Plot No- 178-178A Industrial & Business Park, Phase-I Chandigarh - 160 002 Tel: +91 172 6717800

#### Chennai

Tidel Park, 6th & 7th Floor A Block, No.4, Rajiy Gandhi Salai Taramani, Chennai - 600 113 Tel: +91 44 6654 8100

#### Delhi NCR

Golf View Corporate Tower B Sector 42, Sector Road Gurugram - 122 002 Tel: +91 124 443 4000

3rd & 6th Floor, Worldmark-1 IGI Airport Hospitality District Aerocity, New Delhi - 110 037 Tel: +91 11 4731 8000

4th & 5th Floor, Plot No 2B Tower 2, Sector 126 Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P. Noida - 201 304 Tel: +91 120 671 7000

#### Hvderabad

THE SKYVIEW 10 18th Floor, "SOUTH LOBBY" Survey No 83/1, Raidurgam Hyderabad - 500 032 Tel: +91 40 6736 2000

#### Jamshedpur

1st Floor, Shantiniketan Building, Holding No. 1 SB Shop Area, Bistupur Jamshedpur - 831 001 Tel: +91 657 663 1000

9th Floor, ABAD Nucleus NH-49, Maradu PO Kochi - 682 304 Tel: +91 484 433 4000

#### Kolkata

22 Camac Street 3rd Floor, Block 'C' Kolkata - 700 016 Tel: +91 33 6615 3400

#### Mumbai

14th Floor, The Ruby 29 Senapati Bapat Marg Dadar (W), Mumbai - 400 028 Tel: +91 22 6192 0000

5th Floor, Block B-2 Nirlon Knowledge Park Off. Western Express Highway Goregaon (E) Mumbai - 400 063 Tel: +91 22 6192 0000

#### Pune

C-401, 4th floor Panchshil Tech Park, Yerwada (Near Don Bosco School) Pune - 411 006 Tel: +91 20 4912 6000

#### **Ernst & Young LLP**

#### EY | Building a better working world

#### About EY

EY exists to build a better working world, helping to create long-term value for clients, people and society and build trust in the capital markets.

Enabled by data and technology, diverse EY teams in over 150 countries provide trust through assurance and help clients grow, transform and operate.

Working across assurance, consulting, law, strategy, tax and transactions, EY teams ask better questions to find new answers for the complex issues facing our world today.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. Information about how EY collects and uses personal data and a description of the rights individuals have under data protection legislation are available via ey.com/privacy. EYG member firms do not practice law where prohibited by local laws. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

Ernst & Young LLP is one of the Indian client serving member firms of EYGM Limited. For more information about our organization, please visit www.ey.com/en\_in.

Ernst & Young LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership, registered under the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 in India, having its registered office at 22 Camac Street, 3rd Floor, Block C, Kolkata - 700016

© 2022 Ernst & Young LLP. Published in India. All Rights Reserved.

This publication contains information in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only. It is not intended to be a substitute for detailed research or the exercise of professional judgment. Neither EYGM Limited nor any other member of the global Ernst & Young organization can accept any responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication. On any specific matter, reference should be made to the appropriate advisor.











