EY Tax Alert

Kerala HC holds 30 November time limit for claiming ITC applies retrospectively from 1 July 2017

EY Alerts cover significant tax news, developments and changes in legislation that affect Indian businesses. They act as technical summaries to keep you on top of the latest tax issues. For more information, please contact your EY advisor.

Executive summary

This Tax Alert summarizes a recent judgement of the Kerela High Court (HC)¹ on the constitutional validity of Section 16(2)(c) and Section 16(4) of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (CGST Act).

While Section 16(2)(c) restricts input tax credit (ITC) in the hands of the recipient if the tax has not been paid by the supplier to the Government, Section 16(4) prescribes time limit for claiming ITC.

The key observations of the HC are as follows:

- ► ITC is a conditional entitlement subject to specific conditions and restrictions provided in Sections 16(2) to 16(4). Various HCs² have upheld the constitutional validity of the above provisions.
- ▶ The Government in order to resolve bonafide claims of ITC had issued Circulars³ realizing the difficulty in the initial rollout of GST. Petitioners, who could not avail such benefits, may approach the appropriate GST authority within 30 days of the order.
- ▶ Vide Finance Act, 2022, Section 16(4) was amended to extend the time limit to claim ITC till 30 November of the next financial year. This procedural amendment was aimed to ease taxpayer difficulties and hence, has to be given retrospective effect.
- Accordingly, for the period from 1 July 2017 to 30 November 2022, if a person has furnished the return for the month of September till 30 November, their claim should also be considered.

Accordingly, HC upheld the constitutional validity of Section 16(2)(c) and 16(4) of CGST Act and concluded that the amendment in Section 16(4) should apply retrospectively.

³ Circular Nos.183/15/2022-GST dated 27 December 2022 and 193/05/2023-GST dated 17 July 2023



¹ TS-343-HC(KER)-2024-GST

 $^{^2}$ MANU/BH/1034/2023, MANU/BH/1260/2023 and 2023 SCC Online AP 1476

Background

Section 16(2) of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (CGST Act) prescribes conditions to avail input tax credit (ITC).

As per Section 16(2)(c), ITC is not available if the tax charged in respect of a supply has not been paid to the Government by the supplier.

Section 16(4) prescribes time limit for claiming ITC.

As per unamended Section 16(4) (i.e., prior to 1 October 2022), the last date for claiming credit on invoices pertaining to a financial year was the due date for filing return for the month of September following the end of the said financial year or filing of the relevant annual return, whichever is earlier.

Vide Finance Act 2022, Section 16(4) was amended to extend the time limit to 30 November of the following financial year, or filing of the relevant annual return, whichever is earlier.

Petitioners in the present case filed writ petition before the Kerala High Court (HC) challenging constitutional validity of Sections 16(2)(c) and 16(4) of the CGST Act.

Petitioners' Contention

Section 155 of the CGST Act mandates that the recipient of goods or services must substantiate the legitimacy of their claimed ITC.

If the recipient has in his possession, documents as mentioned in Rule 36 *i.e.*, valid tax invoice, proof of payment of value of goods along with GST component to the respective supplier and the actual receipt of goods, it should be considered that he has discharged the burden under Section 155.

The recipient cannot be burdened to ensure that the supplier of goods or services has paid the tax. Such a condition would be impossible for the recipient to comply with.

The maxim *lex non cogit ad impossibilia* means that law cannot compel a man to do anything which he cannot possibly perform. It is within the power of the State to collect and recover taxes and this duty cannot be passed on the recipient if the supplier does not pay the tax.

Further, where Revenue is able to recover tax from supplier u/s 73 or 74, the same would lead to unjust enrichment in the hands of Government as on the same taxable transaction, it would collect tax from the recipient and also from the supplier along with interest and penalty.

Therefore, Section 16(2)(c) is violative of Article 19(1)(g) of the Constitution of India.

The above provision also confers unchecked powers on the Revenue to treat bona fide and genuine purchasers and guilty purchasers alike which is violative of Article 14 of the Constitution.

- Claim of ITC is a right of the recipient and not a concession given by the taxing authorities under the statute. Denying credit due to default by the supplier would be violative of Article 300A of the Constitution.
- Moreover, Section 16(4) providing time limit to claim ITC is arbitrary and unreasonable.

The provision is a procedural provision and thus, substantive right of the taxpayer, *i.e.*, the claim of ITC, cannot be denied.

The main objective of the GST law was to avoid cascading effects on the supply chain of goods and services and ease the taxing administration. The provision of Section 16(4) runs contrary to the said objective of the legislation.

Vide Finance Act, 2022, Section 16(4) was amended to provide 30 November of the next financial year as the due date for claiming credit.

The said amendment should be given retrospective effect as it is only a procedural aspect.

Due to the initial challenges of implementing GST, the revised Section 16(4) should be interpreted to have effect from 1 July 2017.

Revenue's Contention

 Section 16 of the CGST Act and associated Rules provide conditions, restrictions, time limit and manner for availing ITC, which is a self-monitoring and self-policing provision.

If the supplier does not remit the tax collected from the buyer, it disrupts the tax chain, preventing the ITC from being granted since the State has not received the tax amount.

The time limit for availing ITC cannot be said to be a restriction. The estimation of budgetary allocation has to be taken by the Central and State Governments every year and they are required to pass a budget.

There cannot be any uncertainty regarding tax collection, budgetary allocation and estimation of the Central and State Governments.

- ITC is not a right of a registered taxpayer, but it is a concession extended under the statute. A registered person cannot claim an entitlement to a credit as an absolute right.
- Section 155 casts a burden of proof in relation to the claim of ITC on the registered person. Section 16 is a code by itself which provides for entitlement as well as conditions to claim ITC.
- A law or statutory provision can be challenged only if it is proven to be arbitrary, unreasonable, beyond legislative authority, or infringing upon rights given in Part III of the Constitution.

EY Tax Alert Page | 2

- Patna HC in case of Astha Enterprises⁴ has held that Section 16(2)(c) is constitutionally valid and the claim of ITC would be admissible only if the supplier pays the tax.
- Moreover, the constitutional validity of section 16(4) has been upheld in various HC decisions⁵.

HC ruling

ITC is a statutory benefit for a taxpayer, contingent upon meeting specific conditions and restrictions outlined in Sections 16(2) to 16(4) and Section 43 read with related CGST Rules.

Various courts⁶ have determined that nature of a claim for credit is a concession or entitlement, which is not an absolute right and is subject to the conditions and restrictions as per the scheme of the legislation.

 Section 16 outlines the criteria, limitations, deadlines and procedures for claiming ITC, serving as a self-regulating mechanism.

In the past various High courts have upheld the constitutional validity of section 16(2) and 16(4) of the CGST Act.

Hence, the conditions and restriction given in Section 16(2) are not excessively burdensome or unconstitutional. Rather, they are necessary for the functioning of GST framework.

However, in order to resolve all the bona fide claims and mistakes, the Government had issued Circulars realizing the difficulty in the initial rollout of GST and considering that GSTR-2A was not available initially.

The benefit of Circular No. 183/15/2022 dated 27 December 2022 and 193/05/2023 dated 17 July 2022 which cover the period from the introduction of GST till the introduction Section 16(2)(aa) can be taken in *bona fide* cases on submitting proof of payment of tax to the Government by the supplier.

Petitioners who could not get the benefits of these Circulars and could not avail the benefits within the time limit prescribed, may approach the appropriate GST authority within a period of thirty days to avail the benefit of the aforesaid Circulars.

GST authorities will examine the claim of the individual recipients by applying the provisions of the Circulars and it will grant applicable relief to eligible taxpayers.

► Further, the amendment in Section 16(4) was aimed to ease taxpayer difficulties. Being procedural, it has to be given retrospective effect.

Therefore, for the period from 1 July 2017 to 30 November 2022, if a person has furnished the return for the month of September till 30 November, their claim should also be considered.

Accordingly, HC upheld the constitutional validity of Section 16(2)(c) and 16(4) of the CGST Act and concluded that the amendment in Section 16(4) should apply retrospectively.

Comments

- a. This ruling is likely to benefit taxpayers in contesting ITC denial where the same was claimed after 20 October but before 30 November of the following financial year.
- b. In the past, there are several HC rulings denying ITC where the same was claimed in September's return, but the said return was filed after the due date. The matter is currently pending before the Supreme Court.
- c. While the HC held that the revised time limit of 30 November applies retrospectively, it is relevant to note that it is restricted only in cases where September's return is filed till 30 November. Post amendment in section 16(4), credit can be claimed even in the October's return provided it is filed before 30 November.

EY Tax Alert Page | 3

⁴ MANU/BH/1034/2023

⁵ MANU/BH/1260/2023, 2023 SCC Online AP 1476

⁶ (2016) 15 SCC 125, (2019) 13 SCC 225, (2022) 2 SCC 603, (2005) 2 SCC 129

Our offices

Ahmedabad 22nd Floor, B Wing, Privilon Ambli BRT Road, Behind Iskcon Temple, Off SG Highway Ahmedabad - 380 059 Tel: + 91 79 6608 3800

Bengaluru 12th & 13th floor "UB City", Canberra Block No. 24, Vittal Mallya Road Bengaluru - 560 001 Tel: + 91 80 6727 5000

Ground Floor, 'A' wing Divyasree Chambers #11, O'Shaughnessy Road Langford Gardens Bengaluru - 560 025 Tel: + 91 80 6727 5000

Chandigarh Elante offices, Unit No. B-613 & 614 6th Floor, Plot No- 178-178A Industrial & Business Park, Phase-I Chandigarh - 160 002 Tel: + 91 172 6717800

Chennai Tidel Park, 6th & 7th Floor A Block, No.4, Rajiv Gandhi Salai Taramani, Chennai - 600 113 Tel: + 91 44 6654 8100

Delhi NCR Ground Floor 67, Institutional Area Sector 44, Gurugram - 122 003 Haryana Tel: +91 124 443 4000

3rd & 6th Floor, Worldmark-1 **IGI Airport Hospitality District** Aerocity, New Delhi - 110 037 Tel: + 91 11 4731 8000

4th & 5th Floor, Plot No 2B Tower 2, Sector 126 Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P. Noida - 201 304 Tel: + 91 120 671 7000

Hyderabad THE SKYVIEW 10 18th Floor, "SOUTH LOBBY" Survey No 83/1, Raidurgam Hyderabad - 500 032 Tel: + 91 40 6736 2000

Jamshedpur 1st Floor, Fairdeal Complex Holding No. 7, SB Shop Area Bistupur, Jamshedpur - 831 001 East Singhbhum Jharkhand Tel: + 91 657 663 1000

9th Floor, ABAD Nucleus NH-49, Maradu PO Kochi - 682 304 Tel: + 91 484 433 4000

Kolkata 22 Camac Street 3rd Floor, Block 'C' Kolkata - 700 016 Tel: + 91 33 6615 3400

14th Floor, The Ruby 29 Senapati Bapat Marg Dadar (W), Mumbai - 400 028 Tel: + 91 22 6192 0000

5th Floor, Block B-2 Nirlon Knowledge Park Off. Western Express Highway Goregaon (E) Mumbai - 400 063 Tel: + 91 22 6192 0000

Prine C-401, 4th floor Panchshil Tech Park, Yerwada (Near Don Bosco School) Pune - 411 006 Tel: + 91 20 4912 6000

Ernst & Young LLP

EY | Building a better working world

About EY

EY exists to build a better working world, helping to create long-term value for clients, people and society and build trust in the capital markets.

Enabled by data and technology, diverse EY teams in over 150 countries provide trust through assurance and help clients grow, transform and operate.

Working across assurance, consulting, law, strategy, tax and transactions, EY teams ask better questions to find new answers for the complex issues facing our world today.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. Information about how EY collects and uses personal data and a description of the rights individuals have under data protection legislation are available via ey.com/privacy. EYG member firms do not practice law where prohibited by local laws. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

Ernst & Young LLP is one of the Indian client serving member firms of EYGM Limited. For more information about our organization, please visit www.ey.com/en in.

Ernst & Young LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership, registered under the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 in India, having its registered office at 9th Floor, Golf View Corporate Tower B, Sector 42, Golf Course Road, Gurugram, Haryana - 122 002.

© 2024 Ernst & Young LLP. Published in India. All Rights Reserved.

This publication contains information in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only. It is not intended to be a substitute for detailed research or the exercise of professional judgment. Neither EYGM Limited nor any other member of the global Ernst & Young organization can accept any responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication. On any specific matter, reference should be made to the appropriate advisor.













