The software-driven revolution redefining the automotive industry
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Foreword

Through this paper, EY-Parthenon’s Future Mobility team aims to analyze the disruption caused by the increasing importance of software in the automotive. We begin by looking at the existing challenges with the current approach to vehicle development and the limitations it places on software to grow. We also analyzed the key upcoming technology trends to combat the existing challenges and how they are giving rise to a new approach for developing SDVs. Lastly, we look at how the new development approach is redefining the existing automotive value chain and what this means for existing and emerging players in the future.

Executive Summary

Demand for advanced automotive technologies like ADAS, electrification & connectivity are highlighting the importance of software in automotive and are characterized by independent development of software & hardware. Software-Defined Vehicles are reshaping the automotive industry by enabling increased flexibility, customization, and remote upgradeability. This is leading to new business models, such as subscription-based vehicle ownership, over-the-air software updates and enabling OEMs to offer new services to customers. However, despite all the inherit advantages, software defined vehicles are marred by challenges such as changes in vehicle E/E architecture, lack of independent software development for distributed E/E architectures, lack of seamless connectivity options and cybersecurity concerns. OEMs have addressed these concerns by focusing on developing dedicated software platforms independent of hardware, consolidating the E/E architecture, and leveraging high-speed 5G connectivity to enhance the V2X connectivity. Overall, software-defined vehicles are disrupting the automotive industry by changing the way cars are designed, manufactured, and used. Traditional OEM-Supplier dynamics are changing; Tier 2s and pure play software players are expanding their market position and are utilizing this opportunity to start engaging with the OEMs directly, by-passing traditional Tier1s. Through this paper, EY-P explores this changing automotive landscape and proposes a 3-pronged approach (Prioritizing software opportunities, building software capabilities, evaluating partnerships / acquisitions) to enable a smoother transition to the software domain.
Introduction

Automotive consumers have increasingly come to expect a standard of convenience from their vehicles that they get from their smart phones, tablets, and appliances. Demand for advanced technology features, such as connectivity, driver assistance/autonomous-driving and safety, parallelly with market trends, such as vehicle electrification and increased efficiency norms, are placing an ever-increasing emphasis on the role that software plays in a vehicle.

Traditional vehicle development approaches of developing hardware and software in unison can no longer keep up with the exponentially rising complexity of software needed to meet evolving consumer and market demands.

Enter Software-Defined Vehicles (SDVs). SDVs are characterized by the radically different approach of automotive development, where software is separated from the hardware it runs on. Like smart phones and computers of today, SDVs aim to utilize standardized software platforms, running on next generation consolidated and centralized computing hardware, with a focus on high-speed connectivity to the cloud, other vehicles and smart infrastructure.

A key enabler for SDVs is Over the Air (OTA) updates. Besides security patches and incremental software performance improvements, OTA updates carry the potential to massively cut down costs and realize value from software for OEMs with the ability to roll out entirely upgraded features to customers without massive rollbacks or developing new vehicle programs. Players from markets like the US, EU and China are currently trendsetters and are leading the way in software development for various safety, convenience and performance related aspects of a vehicle. The US, with an extensive talent pool of software developers from Silicon Valley, has radically changed the way software for automotive is developed. New age automotive companies / Start-ups in this region have pioneered our understanding of SDVs today. They have adopted a “Software First” approach where the vehicle and all the hardware in it is centered around a centralized software platform.

The transition from current vehicle architecture to a software driven one is not a straightforward approach. OEMs are racing to achieve a fully software driven vehicle. However, this entails a ground-up transformation of vehicle architecture, development methodologies, and business models. There are several pathways that can be taken by leveraging different technologies and development approaches to cater to specific market needs. The transition is therefore disrupting the traditional automotive value chain, with entry of newer players, such as pure play software giants, redefined relations between traditional players and repositioning of suppliers across the value chain.
Current challenges

One of the major challenges facing the automotive industry today is keeping up with the upcoming trends of Connectivity, Autonomy, Shared and Electrification (CASE) for automobiles. Advancements in these areas require significant boosts in on-board processing, integration of components across the vehicle, and the need for broader connectivity. However, given how vehicles are developed today, moving toward a software-defined future presents significant challenges. Currently, most OEMs are observing relatively lower than expected returns on their investments toward developing the next generation of automotive software platforms. Certain factors, highlighted below, are responsible for this trend:

- **Low compatibility with a centralized software platform:** Due to their distributed nature, architectures like this have very low compatibility with centralized software platforms, like those found in modern consumer electronics, such as mobile phones and computers.

- **High development effort:** The development effort for a distributed architecture is inherently high, as each ECU might have its own development environment and operating systems.

- **Lack of scalability:** With a distributed approach and the lack of a centralized software platform, it becomes difficult to scale the software products across multiple vehicle programs and variants.

**Vehicle E/E architecture**

The current distributed Electrical and Electronic (E/E) vehicle architecture means that for each specific feature, there might be several Electronic Control Units (ECUs). These ECUs contain a monolithic software stack as seen below (figure 2) and communicate with each other over the Communication Area Network (CAN) bus.

This establishes the need for hundreds of ECUs in vehicles today, communicating through a relatively low speed communication protocol. Having a distributed approach creates one of the biggest challenges for the development of the next generation of automotive software.

**Figure 2 Monolithic Embedded Software Stack of a single ECU**

- Contains logic which dictates what the hardware will do based on predefined conditions
- Abstracts software based application layer from the hardware-oriented layers below
- Contains the operating system responsible for controlling the computational hardware
- Hardware components that work based on the logic defined in the application layer
Hardware-based development

With distributed E/E architectures, the software included in the vehicle is largely tied to the hardware components it runs on. Currently, a lot of these hardware components are sourced from Tier 1s, forcing OEMs to source black-box embedded systems integrated with the hardware components. This shifts the responsibility of developing, integrating, and updating software components toward the suppliers, leaving OEMs with little or no autonomy in implementing a centrally defined software strategy.

The consequence of such a supply chain and sourcing model is that the software is deeply linked to the hardware, posing several challenges, such as:

- **Lack of OTA updates:** Since the hardware and software are closely linked, deploying OTA updates to the software through the serviceable life of the vehicle becomes a challenge, as it would mean updating the hardware as well.

- **Lack of reusability:** Reusing software components across multiple vehicle programs or variants becomes challenging as this would require similar hardware to be deployed across multiple vehicle variants and programs.

- **Low integration:** True integration among software components is very hard to achieve, thereby limiting processing power and latency, two key enablers for cross-functional features such as cloud connectivity and ADAS.

Implementing connectivity

Due to the distributed E/E architecture and the deeply linked hardware and software, achieving true connectivity becomes challenging. Since the embedded systems are not truly integrated, the effort and cost required for connecting multiple ECUs to cloud based applications significantly increases. As a result, most vehicles today cannot fully leverage the benefits of high speed 5G connectivity, as the existing E/E architectures are simply not compatible due to their distributed nature. A major implication of this is that moving non-critical processing tasks to the cloud does not make any economic sense, as the development effort required far outweighs any productivity gains by implementing such a setup. This limits the capabilities of features, such as ADAS and Full Self Driving, preventing vehicles from achieving higher levels of autonomy.

Cybersecurity Concerns

Cybersecurity plays a crucial role in considering consolidated software platforms and increased vehicle connectivity. With a more distributed E/E architecture there are more potential points of entry for malicious actors to exploit. This can make it harder to keep the system updated with the latest security patches and to detect and respond to security breaches. Furthermore, a distributed architecture can also increase the complexity of the software running on the various ECUs, which can make it harder to test, validate and certify the system. Today, vehicles typically have somewhere around 100 million lines of code, whereas by 2030 it is believed that an average car will contain roughly 300 million lines of code. Identifying vulnerabilities across multiple ECUs for a large codebase becomes highly tedious as the interactions between the various ECUs and the software they run can be complex. This can make it difficult to identify and patch vulnerabilities in a timely manner.
To overcome these challenges, OEMs have significantly increased allocation of budgets towards R&D for automotive software. Realizing the importance of automotive software and the role it will play to remain competitive in a market where automotive software acts as a key differentiator, OEMs are redefining software strategies, setting up dedicated software organizations and swiftly building capabilities.

Currently, the market conditions are highly fluid given the tectonic shift towards software focused development, however there are some trends that have indisputably come across as key technology enablers for this transition to be successful. These are:

**Consolidation of E/E architecture**

The growing needs of addressing higher vehicle complexity, scalability, higher vehicle security and connectivity will drive the expansion of ECUs.

“To manage complexity, OEMs are transitioning towards domain and vehicle centralized E/E architectures increasing reusability, scalability and reducing cost of development”

The E/E architecture has evolved from distributed (with function specific ECUs) to domain-centralized (function specific ECUs bound to a domain specific ECU) today and is likely to move to a vehicle-centralized (consolidation of ECUs) architecture.

The vehicle-centralized E/E architecture is characterized by a centralized high processing computing unit (HPCU) managing domain specific and function specific ECUs connected via high-speed automotive ethernet. This architecture is better suited to cater to cross-functional features such as advanced driver systems, vehicle connectivity, agile development methodologies and Over the Air (OTA) updates. These features require close integration of components, as they are required to function across all domains of the vehicle.

**Software platforms**

The evolving E/E architecture will pave out the way for development of standardized software platforms, compared to the previous approach wherein software was integrated into the hardware and sourced as black-box systems from multiple suppliers.

Using the traditional approach, achieving truly cross-functional capabilities, such as Full Self Driving (FSD), becomes a challenge. Each software feature is typically siloed and developed as an individual monolithic embedded system. Every individual software feature utilizes its own software stack, running different operating systems (Figure 4).
However, SDVs can leverage software platforms characterized by a horizontal integration of lower software layers, across multiple domains and functions (Figure 5). With horizontally integrated abstraction layers between the various software layers, development of high-level application is made independent of the hardware it is running on. Combined with the consolidated and centralized E/E architecture, a vehicle centralized software platform enables the emergence of a new software market.
Like app stores for popular mobile platforms, software platforms enable the automotive industry to observe reduced barriers to entry into the automotive software market for pure play software companies.

Coupled with a compatible centralized vehicle E/E architecture, some of the key advantages of a software platform are:

- **Support for a microservice architecture:** Breakdown of major functions (ADAS, In-Vehicle Infotainment, etc.) into smaller and more independent software components called microservices. This would enable quicker release cycles of new features. For example, updating a function like ADAS would no longer require the developer to re-deploy the entire ADAS application on a vehicle. Incremental update to smaller services that make up ADAS (object detection, decision making, etc.) can be made, rolled back or decommissioned.

- **Agile development:** Since the SDLC (Software Development Lifecycle) is significantly shorter than the hardware lifecycle of a vehicle, agile development of software enables continuous development and improvement of software features leading to better customer experiences, security and has the potential to unlock new sources of revenue.

- **Scalability and reusability:** Developers would no longer need to concern themselves with ensuring compatibility with multiple hardware units their software needs to run on since higher-level software would be abstracted away from the hardware. This means the same application can be deployed across vehicle variants and programs, allowing the services to be scalable and reusable.

Several leading OEMs across the globe have already begun the transition to a software platform approach. However, it needs to be noted that a successful transition to a software platform approach must be underpinned by a compatible centralized vehicle E/E architecture.

**V2X connectivity**

With the next generation of consolidated vehicle architectures and software platforms, OEMs can leverage cloud computing and V2X connectivity with greater ease. 5G is one of the key enablers for bringing true connectivity capabilities in automobiles with advantages of low latency and increased reliability, allowing real-time vehicle connection with surrounding smart infrastructure (V2I) and other vehicles (V2V), faster software updates, etc.

With the lower latency and higher data bandwidth, 5G in automotive would enable offboarding of non-safety-critical applications and processes from the vehicle to the cloud. Real-time connectivity to the cloud is one of the key defining features of an SDV. Some of the major benefits of integrating real-time connectivity with the cloud include:

- **Improvements in ADAS/AD:** Automakers and system developers can leverage data and insights collected from fleets of vehicle on road to train and enhance their ADAS and AD products and services by creating and running simulated environments on the cloud.

- **Rapid deployment and OTA updates:** Automakers and suppliers leverage automated data processing, training, and deployment pipelines to test, validate and deploy new or updated software products and services to vehicles on a recurring basis.

- **MLOps:** MLOps (Machine Learning Operations) refers to the practices and tools used to manage the production lifecycle of machine learning models. In the automotive context, this could include the development and training of machine learning models for tasks such as autonomous driving, predictive maintenance, and customer personalization. MLOps involves the collaboration of data scientists and IT professionals in order to efficiently build, deploy, monitor, and maintain these machine learning models in a production environment (figure 6). Connectivity is the key enabler for MLOps to successfully manage and continuously improve features, such as ADAS and FSD, at scale.

- **Data-driven organizations:** Data collected from fleets of vehicle on road can be leveraged by multiple enterprise domains such as R&D, manufacturing, after-sales, and maintenance to enhance product offerings, unlock new streams of revenue and enable data-driven innovation across the automotive value chain. Applications for this could include predictive maintenance, score-based insurance, large scale fleet optimization and management.
However, to successfully leverage all the benefits that cloud and vehicle connectivity offer, automakers must first successfully implement a compatible software platform and vehicle hardware.
Automotive cybersecurity

Currently, the regulations governing automotive cybersecurity are narrow in scope. Suppliers provide standard security solutions to OEMs with minor modifications based on the provided requirements. However, consolidation of the vehicle E/E architecture along with a centralized software platform can aid efforts to mitigate emerging cybersecurity risks across the various connectivity pathways (figure 7).

**Vehicle-level threats**

- **Spoofing attacks**: Spoofing attacks to access critical vehicle functions like braking and acceleration.
- **Physical access**: Accessing the vehicle computer through the on board diagnostics port to install malware or spyware.

**Transmission threats**

- **Man in the middle attack**: Positioning transceivers between senders and receivers to acquire sensitive data such as login credentials, or to impersonate one of the parties to mount an attack.

**Front-end threats**

- **Denial of service**: Disable service to vehicle that require critical data for functions such as ADAS or full self driving capabilities.

**Backend threats**

- **Unauthorized access**: Access backend data and applications by maliciously acquiring identity credentials or exploiting vulnerabilities.

**Figure 7 Emerging cyber threats in the era of SDVs**

Advances in vehicle architecture and software platforms aid automotive cybersecurity in the following ways:

- **Reduced entry points for attack**: Centralized architectures consolidate the number of entry points for malicious attacks, making it easier to secure, monitor and manage the security of the entire system. This makes it easier to detect and respond to security breaches in a timely manner.

- **Regular updates**: Once detected, centralized software platforms make it easier and quicker to roll out security patches. With a consolidated architecture, it is also easier to implement security measures such as firewalls, intrusion detection systems, and encryption, as these measures can be centrally managed.

- **Building redundancy and fail-safes**: Implementing redundant systems and fail-safes to minimize the impact of any potential security breaches or failures becomes easier, as the effort to do so will be consolidated to a centralised system, rather than being spread across multiple ECUs.
With the above trends enabling the transition to SDVs, automakers are realigning organizational strategies to meet the demands of an industry increasingly pivoting toward software. To do so, leading OEMs and suppliers around the globe are taking inspiration from leading software and technology companies.

Traditionally, the development approach began with a requirement gathering phase, then sourcing hardware and software components from external suppliers, before moving on the testing, integration and validation. The sourcing of software was typically tied to the hardware of a specific vehicle domain (figure 8). For instance, the powertrain software was sourced together with the powertrain ECUs as an integrated unit. As software complexity rises, this methodology fundamentally lacks the ability to be scalable, agile or cost-effective owing to its sequential and time-consuming nature of development.

The software-defined approach fundamentally differs from the traditional approach by focusing on software development independent from hardware. By completely decoupling the development of software from hardware, vehicle software development can be accelerated, scaled and continuously deployed across a vehicle’s serviceable life, all while incurring lower overall development costs.

However, to fully embrace this approach, automakers are rapidly transitioning to a new sourcing model where software is sourced independently from the hardware (figure 9). This model of sourcing is characterized by moving toward an organizational structure that reflects a cross-functional orientation of software teams, rather than domain specific ones. Internally, software budgets would be aligned toward development efforts for a unified platform rather than individual vehicle domain programs.

This change in development approach is giving rise to a trend wherein leading automakers are setting up dedicated software organizations and, as a result, their demands and interactions with suppliers and pure play software companies are disrupting the traditional value chain.
Traditionally, the relationship of OEM with suppliers has been straightforward and hierarchical with OEMs sourcing and interacting with Tier 1s directly, where Tier 1s would acquire raw material/subcomponents from Tier 2s and 3s. Tier 1s would play the role of integrators (figure 10).

Some of the reasons this model is incompatible with a software driven approach are listed below:

- **Lower autonomy:** Sourcing integrated HW and SW systems from Tier 1s reduces the autonomy automakers have over the software deployed in their vehicles. This includes the data generated and collected, and the update cycles for releasing new features and overall differentiation achieved through software.

- **Owning IP:** As software continues to play an increasingly pivotal role and serve as a key differentiating factor for vehicle sales, OEMs would like to own and retain IP and autonomy over end-to-end development of their software products.

- **Lack of integration:** Sourcing solutions from multiple suppliers to implement a distributed vehicle E/E architecture leads to lower integration among components and increased development efforts for a software platform.

As a result of this, Tier 2s and pure-play software players have had the chance to expand their market position and have utilized this opportunity to start engaging with the OEMs directly, by-passing traditional Tier 1s. OEMs get the benefits of reduced complexities, opportunity to develop the technology jointly and later retain the IP while working with Tier 2s and new entrants in this space.

However, Tier 1s still can still maintain relevance in this shifting landscape. To do so, they would need to reposition themselves in the value chain and leverage their system integration and development expertise by transitioning to Tier 0.5s.

Under the shifting value chain, Tier 0.5 suppliers closely collaborate with automakers from a very early stage of development. Where typical Tier 1s measure revenues by one-time sales of integrated units, tier 0.5 provides development support and collaboration by acting as innovation partners. This includes collaboration from early-stage R&D efforts all the way through continuous development of software products.

Additionally, automakers will also rely on Tier 2s, Tier 2+, and pure-play software companies for collaboration on different areas such as sourcing of centralized high compute SOCs, implementing AI/ML frameworks as well as undergoing IT transformation to have standardized DevOps practices. This leads to a model underpinned by more collaboration across the value chain (figure 11).
Development of capabilities in automotive software will require sizeable investments and development efforts. EY-P’s New Age Mobility team has identified some key areas of focus for your business for it to be successful in this shifting technology landscape. These are:

### Software-product strategy: prioritizing the right opportunities

OEMs are dedicating an ever-increasing amount of money to software R&D to remain competitive. The software R&D budgets are being dedicated toward the development of new features and a centralized platform strategy. However, generally, it will not be prudent for automakers to develop and control the entire stack in-house. To aid in the development efforts, they will continue to rely on suppliers, software players and solution providers in line with the redefined value-chain for SDVs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differentiating Value</th>
<th>Development effort/Capabilities required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Software differentiators** | Non-safety critical software products that require relatively low development efforts, have easy to acquire capabilities, but serve as key differentiators for automakers.  
**Example:** Connected Mobile Applications, In-Vehicle Infotainment Software, Digital Cockpits |
| **High-tech** | Software products that require mature capabilities in the field of automotive embedded software and are highly sought-after by automakers.  
**Example:** ADAS offerings, Cloud Connectivity, Full Self-Driving |
| **Commoditized products** | Non-safety critical component level/low level software products that control basic hardware features of the vehicle.  
**Example:** Actuator control software (wipers, windows, mirrors, Automatic lights) |
| **Standardized products** | Safety critical software product that require mature capabilities due to testing and compliance requirements with safety and automotive standards.  
**Example:** ABS, Emission Control Software, Power electronics control software |

*Figure 12 Strategic Framework for Choosing the Best Software Product Strategy*
Therefore, to maximize returns on software development efforts it is important to establish a fundamentally solid product strategy. The chosen product strategy will vary on factors such as existing capability maturity, the value of the product/services offered and so on. Therefore, choosing where to play (figure 12) becomes essential for establishing a software-product strategy.

### Building capabilities

Building on the foundations of a product strategy, focus on capabilities required to execute the development of software. The core competencies and capabilities will vary depending on where in the value chain you decide to operate your business. For Tier 1s, system architecture and integrations capabilities would be a priority, whereas pure play software companies can leverage agility by focusing on DevOps skills.

### Partnerships/Acquisitions and academic tie-ups

Given the dynamic market conditions, it is essential to ramp up capabilities quickly. To stay relevant in a software-driven industry, it will be essential for supplier, pure play software companies and solution providers to strategically partner through JVs, and Mergers & Acquisitions. This enables cost sharing of the large investments required to develop software capabilities and transition to a software organization.

This is a phenomenon that has been observed across the industry with leading Tier-1 suppliers wholly acquiring or partnering with embedded software companies in this space.

For more advanced software offerings like ADAS and full-self driving, trends of OEMs and suppliers partnering with academic institution has been upcoming as well. To fully maximize these collaborative efforts, it is essential to set clear and strategic targets that align with the interests of both institutions. With a clear vision and established goals, such collaborations have the potential to yield high degrees of innovation by leveraging young talent and institutional funding.
The transition to SDVs is disrupting the automotive industry across the value chain. How the roles of different players will shape out in the future is still highly fluid, with new entrants, traditional suppliers and automakers racing to grab a dominant position in this space. However, observing the current market trends (figure 13), one certainty that universally exists for all players is that software will play an ever-larger role in the automobiles of tomorrow and to stay competitive in the market going forward, automotive software would have to be a part of product offerings across the value chain.

**Trends**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass-Production OEMs</th>
<th>Leader</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premium OEMs</td>
<td>Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software-defined startups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Distributed E/E architecture with minimum connectivity and ADAS functionality
2. Partial Consolidation of E/E architecture, L2 ADAS functionality, basic infotainment connectivity
3. Domain centralised E/E architecture, centralised ADAS/AV controllers, L3, L4 capabilities, with OTA functionality
4. Centralised HPC platform, L4+ ADAS capabilities, full vehicle connectivity with cybersecurity solutions

*Figure 13 SDV Trends Observed by Automotive OEMs*
Key takeaway for OEMs:

### Vehicle E/E architecture
- Overhaul of E/E architecture to be more consolidated, scalable and modular for applications across vehicle programs and variants, in close collaboration with Tier-1 suppliers.

### Software strategy
- Development of centralized software platforms leveraging high-speed connectivity and over the air updates to continuously improve and develop the in-vehicle software across the vehicle's lifecycle.
- Investments in R&D and carving out a dedicated software organization with focus on the SDLC.
- Decoupling hardware and software to ensure faster software development times independent from hardware upgrades, scalability and tighter integration of software components.

### Cloud strategy
- Implementation of centralized cloud strategy to offload heavy processing tasks on the cloud.
- Development of cybersecurity solutions to ensure vehicle safety across the vehicle, cloud and during transmission of data.

OEMs across the globe are already racing toward achieving a centralized software platform and consolidated E/E architectures by investing heavily in the R&D for software. Some notable examples are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OEM</th>
<th>2021 investments in software R&amp;D</th>
<th>Key achievements aligned to the software defined agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American multinational OEM</td>
<td>$1 - $1.5 billion</td>
<td>▶ Introduced over-the-air updates for new electric vehicle program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Developed in-house software product engineering teams to enable full-stack development of software components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German multinational OEM</td>
<td>$3 - $3.5 billion</td>
<td>▶ Created a dedicated software organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Employing over 4,500 engineers and developers that were consolidated from various organizations to serve as an independent division, set up to service the software needs of all subsidiaries belonging to the OEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Japanese OEM</td>
<td>$3.5 - $4 billion</td>
<td>▶ Developing and rolling out a dedicated centralized software platform for future vehicle programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Development effort for this software platform is consolidated and developed through a dedicated R&amp;D subsidiary of the parent company</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key takeaways for suppliers and pure play software companies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key takeaways</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consolidated organization</strong></td>
<td>Mirroring the end customer, consolidating capabilities for in-vehicle software and computing to offer cross-functional products and services in the domain of SDVs to OEMs through a single dedicated entity with a scalable global organizational platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revised business models</strong></td>
<td>Transitioning from manufacturing and selling coupled hardware and software products to closely collaborating with OEMs and providing specialized software engineering management services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specialization</strong></td>
<td>For pure play software companies and traditional Tier-1’s, specialization in a particular domain with automotive software can lead to OEMs sourcing non-differentiating products such as the middleware from specialized suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition of capabilities</strong></td>
<td>To rapidly develop and scale software capabilities, evaluate partnerships, tie-ups and acquisitions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To conclude, Software-Defined Vehicles (SDVs) are symbolic of the paradigm shift that the automotive industry is witnessing and is marked by various megatrends like the consolidation of E/E architecture, evolving software development approach, V2X connectivity, cloud computing etc. Software is the epicenter around which these megatrends are shaping up.

EY-P’s future mobility team can help you navigate the complex strategic decisions required to help your business be successful in the era of Software-Defined Vehicles.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OEM: Original Equipment Manufacturer</td>
<td>Companies that manufacture and sell vehicles or their associated components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS: Advanced Driver Assistance Systems</td>
<td>Set of safety features in vehicles that use software to assist the driver and prevent crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDV: Software-Defined Vehicles</td>
<td>Next generation of vehicles characterized by key features enabled through a centralized software platform and consolidated electronics architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSD: Full Self Driving</td>
<td>Capability of a vehicle to safely navigate and operate without human input or supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTA Updates: Over the Air Updates</td>
<td>Methods to deploy software, configuration, or security updates through wireless communication protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.A.S.E.: Connected, Autonomous, Shared, Electric</td>
<td>Collective term to describe the major upcoming trends of connected, autonomous, shared and electric vehicles within the automotive industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECU: Electronics Control Unit</td>
<td>Control system, usually responsible for executing a single function within a vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E Architecture: Electrical and Electronics Architecture</td>
<td>Hardware topology dictating the network layout of electrical systems and electronic controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN Protocol: Communication Area Network Protocol</td>
<td>Standard communication protocol used by microcontrollers to transmit and receive messages within a network without the presence of a centralized host computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC: High Performance Computing Unit</td>
<td>Collective term for integrated high-performance computing, storage, and network resources for processing complex workloads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLC: Software Development Lifecycle</td>
<td>Term used to describe the end-to-end processes required to create software products from concept to production in the most efficient manner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMI: Human Machine Interface</td>
<td>Collection of functional elements that enable driver and passengers to interact and control various aspects of the vehicle in the most seamless way as possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2X Connectivity: Vehicle to Everything Connectivity</td>
<td>Umbrella term used to describe the connectivity abilities of next generation of connected vehicles. V2X can include vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I), etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC: System on a Chip</td>
<td>An integrated circuit that integrates all components of a computer or electronic system into a single chip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API: Application Programming Interface</td>
<td>Set of protocols, routines, and tools for building software and applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIL: Hardware in the loop</td>
<td>Method of testing in which a hardware component is integrated with a simulated environment to test the component's response to inputs and expected outputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL: Model in the loop</td>
<td>Method of testing in which a simulation model of a component or system is integrated with the real-time environment to test the model's response to inputs and expected outputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIL: Software in the loop</td>
<td>Method of testing in which a software component is integrated with a simulated environment to test the component's response to inputs and expected outputs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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